



THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO
CONTINUING DISCLOSURE
ANNUAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION FILING

FISCAL YEAR 2012

NEW MEXICO
STATE BOARD OF FINANCE

January 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO CONTINUING DISCLOSURE ANNUAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION FILING	1
State Capital Program.....	1
General Obligation Bonds	2
Outstanding and Additional Parity General Obligation Bonds	3
Underlying General Obligation Bonds.....	4
Severance Tax Bonds	4
Outstanding and Additional Senior Severance Tax Bonds	4
Outstanding Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds.....	5
Tax Revenue Anticipation Notes.....	6
Severance Tax Bonding Fund and Debt Service Requirements.....	6
Investments.....	8
Severance Tax Collections and Reporting.....	8
Severance Taxes on Oil, Natural Gas, and Carbon Dioxide	10
Oil and Natural Gas Incentives.....	10
Severance Tax on Indian Land.....	11
Carbon Dioxide	12
History of Severance Tax and Severance Tax Surtax on Coal Production	12
Severance Taxes on Other Minerals.....	14
GENERAL INFORMATION CONCERNING THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO.....	15
Governmental Organization	15
Pension and Other Retirement Funds and Benefits	16
FINANCIAL OVERVIEW.....	23
State Auditing and Accounting Systems	23
State Budgetary and Appropriation Process.....	24
State Treasurer’s Investment Responsibilities.....	24
Notice Filing.....	26
General Fund	26
Review of Results and Projections in the General Fund	31
General Fund Taxes and Revenues	33
Gross Receipts and Compensating Taxes.....	34
Personal Income Tax	35
Corporate Income Tax.....	36
Mineral Production Taxes	36
Royalties, Rents and Bonuses.....	37
Severance Tax Permanent Fund and the Land Grant Permanent Fund	38
Investment Income	39
PROPERTY VALUATION AND TAXATION	40
Production and Property Taxes on Oil and Natural Gas	42
Production, Sales, and Property Taxes on Coal.....	43
Additional Information.....	44

**THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO
CONTINUING DISCLOSURE
ANNUAL FINANCIAL INFORMATION FILING**

State Capital Program

State general fund balances and proceeds from General Obligation Bonds, Senior Severance Tax Bonds, Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds and Transportation Bonds are important sources of capital financing for the State. The following table summarizes the capital funding administered by the Board and certain other sources for Fiscal Year 2008 through Fiscal Year 2012.

TABLE 1

**Principal Sources of Capital Project Funding
Fiscal Year Ended June 30
(Dollars in millions)**

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>
Proceeds from General Obligation Bonding Program⁽¹⁾					
General Obligation Bonds	\$ 0.0	\$ 223.4	\$ 0.0	\$ 19.7	\$0.0
Subtotal	\$ 0.0	\$ 223.4	\$ 0.0	\$ 19.7	\$0.0
Proceeds from Severance Tax Bonding Program⁽¹⁾					
Severance Tax Bond	\$153.6	\$0.0	\$ 315.3	\$0.0	\$121.2
Severance Tax Funding Notes ⁽²⁾	150.9	188.7	178.6	27.3	76.2
Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds	0.0	0.0	112.9	0.0	0.0
Supplemental Severance Tax Funding Notes ⁽²⁾	222.8	240.8	97.0	206.1	148.7
Subtotal	\$527.3	\$ 429.5	\$ 703.8	\$233.4	\$346.2
Proceeds From Other Sources					
General Fund ⁽³⁾	\$123.0	\$(148.6)	\$(259.2)	\$0.0	\$0.0
Transportation Bonds ⁽⁴⁾	0.0	40.0	80.5	81.1	0.0
Lease Appropriation Bonds	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Subtotal	\$123.0	\$ (48.6)	\$(178.7)	\$ 81.1	\$0.0
Total⁽⁵⁾	\$650.3	\$ 604.3	\$ 525.1	\$334.2	\$346.2

⁽¹⁾ Dollar amounts from State Board of Finance funding programs reflect net proceeds available for capital expenditure. Amounts vary annually by legislative action.

⁽²⁾ The Board issues short-term Severance Tax funding notes and Supplemental Severance Tax funding notes to fund authorized projects. The notes are sold to the State Treasurer and retired within the same fiscal year.

⁽³⁾ In Fiscal Year 2009, due to budgetary constraints, \$148.6 million previously appropriated for Capital Project Funding was reappropriated for purposes other than Capital Project Funding. In Fiscal Year 2010, due to budgetary constraints, \$259.2 million previously appropriated for Capital Project Funding was reappropriated for purposes other than Capital Project Funding.

⁽⁴⁾ In July 2008, the New Mexico Finance Authority entered into a \$200,000,000 line of credit which was drawn upon for transportation related capital expenditures in Fiscal Years 2009-2011. In Fiscal Year 2011, the New Mexico Finance Authority refunded the line of credit through the issuance of State Transportation Revenue and Refunding Bonds (Senior Lien) Series 2010A-1 and State Transportation Revenue and Refunding Bonds (Subordinate Lien) Series 2010A-2, the proceeds of which are available for transportation related capital expenditures. All subsequent bonds or notes issued by the New Mexico Finance Authority for transportation purposes have been either economic refundings of outstanding new money bonds or restructurings of outstanding new money bonds.

⁽⁵⁾ Totals may not add due to rounding.

Source: New Mexico State Board of Finance, the Department of Finance and Administration and the New Mexico Finance Authority.

In addition to the General Obligation Bonding Program, the Severance Tax Bonding Program and the Supplemental Severance Tax Bonding Program issued and administered by the Board, there are a number of other sources of funds for capital projects throughout the State. These other sources of funding include surplus general fund appropriations and proceeds of bonds issued by, among others, the New Mexico Finance Authority, the State Transportation Commission and state educational institutions.

The New Mexico Finance Authority (the "Finance Authority") was created by the State legislature in 1992 to assist qualified governmental entities in financing capital equipment and infrastructure projects. The Finance Authority is a state instrumentality governed by a board of directors and is not subject to the direct supervision or control of any other board, bureau, department or agency of the State. In July 2012, the Finance Authority disclosed that what it believed was the audit of its financial statements for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2011 was not completed properly (the "Incomplete Audit"). Upon such discovery, the Finance Authority withdrew the Incomplete Audit. The Finance Authority then initiated an investigation and determined that its former controller had misrepresented the status of the Incomplete Audit and provided financial statements for use by third parties that he falsely represented as "audited." Investigations also were conducted by i) the Office of the State Auditor and PricewaterhouseCoopers, ii) the Securities Division of the New Mexico Regulation and Licensing Department and iii) Hewitt EnnisKnupp. The reports have been completed with findings of no money missing. The United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") issued an inquiry to the Finance Authority regarding the Incomplete Audit (the "SEC Inquiry"), to which the Finance Authority timely responded and provided all the requested information. It is unknown whether the SEC will pursue further action. The Finance Authority has retained REDW, LLC to perform the audits for Fiscal Years 2011 and 2012, with anticipated completion dates of January 15, 2013 and March 15, 2013, respectively. The Finance Authority's former controller entered into a plea agreement for forgery and securities fraud. The Finance Authority is implementing recommendations contained in the three recently published reports.

General Obligation Bonds

Sections 7 and 8 of Article IX of the State Constitution limit the power of State officials to incur general obligation indebtedness in the following ways:

- (a) The State may borrow money not exceeding the sum of two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000) in the aggregate to meet casual deficits or failure in revenue, or for necessary expenses.
- (b) Other debt may be contracted by or on behalf of the State only when authorized by law for some specified work or object. Such a law takes effect only after being submitted to the qualified electors of the State and having received a majority of all votes cast thereon at a general election. No debt may be created if the total indebtedness of the State, exclusive of the debts of the territory and several counties thereof assumed by the State, would thereby be made to exceed 1 percent of the assessed valuation of all property subject to taxation in the State, as shown by the last preceding general assessment.
- (c) The State may contract debts to suppress insurrection and to provide for the public defense.

Outstanding and Additional Parity General Obligation Bonds

The principal amounts of outstanding General Obligation Bonds, as of December 31, 2012, are shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2

Outstanding General Obligation Bonds

Series	Principal Outstanding
Series 2005	\$ 39,510,000
Series 2007	75,620,000
Refunding Series 2008A	15,125,000
Series 2009	149,760,000
<u>Series 2011</u>	<u>16,875,000</u>
Total	\$ 296,890,000

Source: *New Mexico State Board of Finance.*

Future debt payments, by fiscal year, on General Obligation Bonds outstanding, as of December 31, 2012, are shown in Table 3.

TABLE 3

Future General Obligation Bond Debt Service⁽¹⁾

Fiscal Year	Principal	Interest	Total
2013	\$61,410,000	\$ 7,295,100	\$ 68,705,100
2014	48,535,000	11,569,350	60,104,350
2015	50,895,000	9,193,300	60,088,300
2016	38,920,000	6,665,800	45,585,800
2017	40,855,000	4,737,700	45,592,700
2018	25,395,000	2,732,250	28,127,250
2019	26,645,000	1,481,700	28,126,700
2020	2,075,000	169,400	2,244,400
2021	<u>2,160,000</u>	<u>86,400</u>	<u>2,246,400</u>
Total	\$296,890,000	\$43,931,000	\$340,821,000

⁽¹⁾ Figures may not add due to rounding.

Source: *New Mexico State Board of Finance.*

Voters must approve the issuance of additional General Obligation Bonds, other than for refunding purposes, and the levy of additional *ad valorem* taxes. Any such additional General Obligation Bonds may be issued on a parity with, or subordinate to, all outstanding General Obligation Bonds.

Calculation of 1 Percent Bonding Limitations

Net taxable value as of December 31, 2012	\$54,490,961,619
General obligation bond limitation @ 1 percent of net taxable value	\$544,909,616
Total general obligation bonds outstanding as of December 31, 2012	\$296,890,000
Ratio of total debt to net taxable value	.545%

Source: *Fiscal Strategies Group.*

Underlying General Obligation Bonds

The following table presents information on county, city, local and public school district debt outstanding as of June 30, 2012. The table does not include debt of special districts or community colleges.

Certain Underlying General Obligation Debt

Counties	\$ 264,240,000
Cities.....	\$ 498,297,462
Schools.....	\$ <u>1,951,469,000</u>

Source: *New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration, Local Government Division and New Mexico Public Education Department.*

Severance Tax Bonds

The Severance Tax Bonding Act, Sections 7-27-1 to 7-27-27 NMSA 1978, as amended, permits the State Board of Finance (the “Board”) to issue two categories of bonds against Severance Tax Bonding Fund (the “Bonding Fund”) revenues: “New Mexico Severance Tax Bonds,” referred to herein as “Senior Severance Tax Bonds,” and “New Mexico Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds,” referred to herein as “Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds.” The Board is prohibited by statute from issuing Senior Severance Tax Bonds and short-term Senior Severance Tax Funding Notes unless the aggregate amount of total Senior Severance Tax Bonds and Funding Notes outstanding, after giving effect to the proposed issuance, can be serviced with not more than 50 percent of the annual deposits into the Bonding Fund from the preceding fiscal year. The Board is prohibited by statute from issuing Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds unless the aggregate amount of Senior Severance Tax Bonds and Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds outstanding, after giving effect to the proposed issuance, can be serviced with not more than 62.5 percent of the annual deposits into the Bonding Fund from the preceding fiscal year. In addition, short-term Supplemental Severance Tax Funding Notes may be issued if the debt service on such Supplemental Severance Tax Funding Notes, when added to the debt service previously paid or scheduled to be paid during that fiscal year on Senior and Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds and Funding Notes does not exceed 95 percent of the deposits into the Bonding Fund from the preceding fiscal year. The Senior Severance Tax Bonds and Funding Notes fund a wide variety of capital projects while Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds and Funding Notes are earmarked for capital projects for public education.

The Board has authority to issue Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds for public school projects in amounts certified to the Board from time to time by the Public School Capital Outlay Council of the State. The lien of the pledge of such Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds (including short-term funding notes) is subordinate to any outstanding Senior Severance Tax Bonds.

Section 7-27-8 NMSA 1978 requires that on each December 31 and each June 30 the State Treasurer shall transfer to the Severance Tax Permanent Fund all money in the Bonding Fund except the amount necessary to meet all principal and interest payments on bonds payable from the Bonding Fund on the next two ensuing semiannual payment dates.

Outstanding and Additional Senior Severance Tax Bonds

The principal amounts of outstanding Senior Severance Tax Bonds, as of December 31, 2012 are shown in Table 4.

TABLE 4

Outstanding Senior Severance Tax Bonds

Severance Tax Bonds, Series 2008A-1	\$14,650,000
Severance Tax Bonds, Series 2009A	138,235,000
Severance Tax Bonds, Series 2010A	110,065,000
Severance Tax Bonds, Refunding Series 2010C	27,820,000
Severance Tax Bonds, Refunding Series 2010D	127,585,000
Severance Tax Bonds, Series 2011A-1	46,220,000
Severance Tax Bonds, Refunding Series 2011A-2	75,715,000
Severance Tax Bonds, Series 2012A	<u>57,990,000</u>
Total	\$598,280,000

Source: New Mexico State Board of Finance.

Future payments, by fiscal year, on outstanding Senior Severance Tax Bonds, as of December 31, 2012, are shown in Table 5.

TABLE 5

Future Senior Severance Tax Bond Debt Service⁽¹⁾

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2013		\$ 14,292,341	\$ 14,292,341
2014	\$97,015,000	26,296,038	123,311,038
2015	93,050,000	22,006,188	115,056,188
2016	91,575,000	17,557,663	109,132,663
2017	85,770,000	13,124,038	98,894,038
2018	73,035,000	9,153,913	82,188,913
2019	61,255,000	5,882,913	67,137,913
2020	46,325,000	3,354,875	49,679,875
2021	28,970,000	1,610,656	30,580,656
2022	13,320,000	673,800	13,993,800
2023	<u>7,965,000</u>	<u>199,125</u>	<u>8,164,125</u>
Total	\$598,280,000	\$114,151,547	\$712,431,547

⁽¹⁾ Figures may not add due to rounding.

Source: New Mexico State Board of Finance.

Outstanding Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds

The principal amounts of Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds that are outstanding, as of December 31, 2012, are shown in Table 6.

TABLE 6

Outstanding Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds

Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds, Series 2003B	\$ 1,245,000
Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds, Series 2004B	2,260,000
Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds, Series 2010B	<u>81,490,000</u>
Total	\$84,995,000

Source: *New Mexico State Board of Finance.*

The future fiscal year debt payments on outstanding Supplemental Severance Tax Bonds, as of December 31, 2012, are shown in Table 7.

TABLE 7

Future Supplemental Severance Tax Bond Debt Service⁽¹⁾

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Principal</u>	<u>Interest</u>	<u>Total</u>
2013		\$ 2,060,558	\$ 2,060,558
2014	\$11,770,000	3,834,958	15,604,958
2015	10,705,000	3,281,175	13,986,175
2016	9,725,000	2,770,425	12,495,425
2017	9,945,000	2,278,675	12,223,675
2018	10,215,000	1,774,675	11,989,675
2019	10,525,000	1,256,175	11,781,175
2020	10,865,000	721,425	11,586,425
2021	<u>11,245,000</u>	<u>224,900</u>	<u>11,469,900</u>
Total	\$84,995,000	\$18,202,965	\$103,197,965

⁽¹⁾ Figures may not add due to rounding.

Source: *New Mexico State Board of Finance.*

Tax Revenue Anticipation Notes

The State has issued, and expects to issue from time to time, Tax Revenue Anticipation Notes (“TRAN”). The TRAN are not general obligations of the State. The purpose of the TRAN is to fund a portion of the State’s cash flow needs during the fiscal year in which the TRAN are sold. The State Treasurer's Office has not issued TRAN since Fiscal Year 2011, during which \$200,000,000 was issued.

Severance Tax Bonding Fund and Debt Service Requirements

Severance tax receipts contributed 96.4 percent of total revenue to the Bonding Fund in Fiscal Year 2012, with the remainder attributable to interest and reversions. Severance taxes are almost entirely attributable to natural gas, crude oil and coal sales. Natural gas and crude oil together accounted for approximately 97.1 percent of total Fiscal Year 2012 severance tax receipts as shown in Table 8. This percentage is calculated net of Intergovernmental Tax Credits.

TABLE 8

**Severance Tax Bonding Fund
Receipts, Disbursements and Transfers
Fiscal Year Ended June 30⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾
(Dollars in thousands)**

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>
Beginning Balance	\$224,860	\$107,217	\$172,318	\$130,346	\$161,190
Receipts:					
Taxes:					
Oil and Gas Severance Tax	\$493,293	\$492,885	\$325,761	\$350,718	\$449,283
Other Minerals Severance Taxes	<u>18,501</u>	<u>23,431</u>	<u>24,362</u>	<u>16,009</u>	<u>13,548</u>
Total Severance Taxes	\$511,794	\$516,315	\$350,123	\$366,727	\$462,831
Other Income:					
Interest on Investments	\$ 57,410	\$ 31,607	\$ 21,610	\$ 18,102	\$9,941
Bond Proceeds	0	0	369	0	4
Other financing sources	<u>4,391</u>	<u>2,300</u>	<u>2,131</u>	<u>10,823</u>	<u>7,126</u>
Total Other Income	\$ 61,801	\$ 33,907	\$ 24,111	\$ 28,295	\$17,072
Total Receipts	\$573,595	\$550,222	\$374,234	\$395,652	\$479,903
Disbursements:					
Senior Bond Debt Service	\$158,564	\$ 12,433	\$ 96,290	\$103,867	\$121,367
Senior Short-term Obligations ⁽³⁾	269,750	188,684	178,594	27,273	76,220
Supplemental Bond Debt Service	39,056	1,074	15,452	19,212	29,300
Supplemental Short-term Obligations ⁽³⁾	222,799	240,841	97,001	206,130	148,745
Fiscal Charges	<u>665</u>	<u>895</u>	<u>1,396</u>	<u>4,838⁽⁴⁾</u>	<u>3,034</u>
Total Disbursements	\$690,834	\$443,926	\$388,734	\$361,321	\$378,665
Transfers:					
To Severance Tax Permanent Fund	<u>\$ 404</u>	<u>\$ 41,195</u>	<u>\$ 27,472</u>	<u>\$ 3,488</u>	<u>\$7,617</u>
Total Transfers	\$ 404	\$ 41,195	\$ 27,472	\$ 3,488	\$7,617
Ending Balance, June 30	\$107,217 ⁽⁵⁾	\$172,318 ⁽⁶⁾	\$130,346 ⁽⁷⁾	\$161,190 ⁽⁸⁾	\$254,810 ⁽⁹⁾

⁽¹⁾ All receipts, expenditures and balances exclude amounts in rebate accounts retained for potential arbitrage rebates.

⁽²⁾ Proceeds and expenditures attributable to refunding bonds are excluded from this table because such proceeds and expenditures are reserved for payments on appropriate refunding bonds and are not available for debt service payments on other Severance Tax Bonds.

⁽³⁾ The Board issues short-term Severance Tax funding notes and Supplemental Severance Tax funding notes to fund the authorized projects. The notes are sold to the State Treasurer and retired within the same fiscal year.

⁽⁴⁾ Includes \$2.0 million paid to escrow agent in connection to Series 2010D advance refunding.

⁽⁵⁾ The ending balance includes the amount of the transfer to the Severance Tax Permanent Fund, which was made on July 31, 2008 in the amount of \$41.1 million.

⁽⁶⁾ The ending balance includes the amount of the transfer to the Severance Tax Permanent Fund, which was \$27.5 million. An initial transfer of \$30.1 million was made on July 14, 2009. After further review, a reversal to the Severance Tax Bonding Fund of \$2.6 million was made on November 23, 2009, to reflect the correct amount necessary to be transferred.

⁽⁷⁾ The ending balance includes the amount of the transfer to the Severance Tax Permanent Fund, which was made on July 1, 2010 in the amount of \$3.5 million.

⁽⁸⁾ The ending balance includes the amount of the transfer to the Severance Tax Permanent Fund, which was made on August 3, 2011 in the amount of \$7.6 million.

⁽⁹⁾ The ending balance includes the amount of the transfer to the Severance Tax Permanent Fund, which was made on July 3, 2012 in the amount of \$103.9 million.

Source: New Mexico State Board of Finance based on the Statewide Human Resources, Accounting, and Management Reporting System (the "SHARE System").

TABLE 9

**State of New Mexico Severance Tax Bonds
Projected Cash Receipts, Debt Service Requirements and Coverage**

Fiscal Year Ending 6/30	Projected STBF Revenues	Scheduled Senior Debt Service⁽¹⁾	Projected Senior Debt Service Coverage	Scheduled Supplemental Debt Service⁽¹⁾	Projected Supplemental Coverage
2013	\$436,305,707	\$127,951,205	3.41x	\$21,483,074	2.92x
2014	457,597,860	123,311,038	3.71x	15,604,958	3.29x
2015	469,693,525	115,056,188	4.08x	13,986,175	3.64x
2016	473,092,573	109,132,663	4.34x	12,495,425	3.89x
2017	467,436,745	98,894,038	4.73x	12,223,675	4.21x
2018	461,116,454	82,188,913	5.61x	11,989,675	4.90x
2019	455,010,756	67,137,913	6.78x	11,781,175	5.77x
2020	448,809,207	49,679,875	9.03x	11,586,425	7.33x
2021	442,971,037	30,580,656	14.49x	11,469,900	10.53x
2022	437,037,015	13,993,800	31.23x		
2023	431,309,002	8,164,125	52.83x		

⁽¹⁾ Excludes debt service on refunded bonds which will be payable from escrowed securities and severance and supplemental severance tax debt obligations sold to the State Treasurer which are retired within the same fiscal year.

Sources: *New Mexico State Board of Finance and Fiscal Strategies Group.*

Investments

Funds on deposit in the Bonding Fund and in the various Project Funds are invested by the State Treasurer at the direction and approval of the Board, pursuant to the State Treasurer's Investment Policy ("Investment Policy"). Investments are made in securities, which are at the time legal investments of the State, and no such investment or deposit shall violate any applicable restrictions imposed by the Code (defined below) and applicable Treasury Regulations relating to the market price and the existence of an established market.

Except for funds deposited into the Rebate Fund for any bond series (defined in the Bond Resolution), net interest earned on the amounts on deposit in the Debt Service Account for those bonds shall be retained therein, and net interest earned on amounts on deposit in the Project Fund for those bonds shall be credited to the Debt Service Account for those bonds and applied to the payment of principal and interest on the bonds next becoming due. Any net loss resulting from any investment shall be charged to the applicable account from which such investment was made.

Severance Tax Collections and Reporting

Operators, purchasers and working interest owners are required to submit monthly reports to the TRD showing the total value, volume and kind of products sold from every production unit each month. Taxes must be paid at the same time and are due 55 days after the month of production. Each production report must be accompanied by a company identification number, which facilitates automated processing of return information. Production and associated tax liability are reported by "production unit" and a designation for a well or group of wells that is assigned by the TRD based on the master operation, property name identification and pool. A suffix is added to the production unit number to designate the specific land type and taxing authority including county, school district, and municipality. During

calendar year 2012, the TRD received an average of 729 oil and natural gas returns per month containing an average of 142,775 lines of detailed information about production from different production units.

Complete reporting forms must be mailed or delivered, or their information electronically transmitted, on or before the 25th day of the second month after the calendar month for which the report is required. Taxpayers whose total tax liability for the month (including the oil and gas emergency school tax, the oil and gas conservation tax and the oil and gas ad valorem tax, as well as the oil and gas severance tax) exceeds \$25,000 must provide payment by automated clearinghouse or by wire transfer on or before the due date. Taxpayers who fail to report or pay in a timely fashion are assessed interest at the rate established for individuals under Internal Revenue Code Section 6621 computed on a daily basis beginning the day after the due date and continuing until the date the tax is reported or paid. A penalty is assessed when a taxpayer fails to pay any tax or file any report by the due date because of negligence or disregard of rules and regulations. A penalty is charged at a rate of 2 percent per month, up to a maximum of 20 percent of the tax due.

The State maintains an automated database system (“ONGARD” for Oil and Natural Gas Administration and Revenue Database) to monitor production and sales activities of oil and natural gas producers. ONGARD functions include: managing the inventory of lands within the state and tracking all leases to determine whether royalty payments are owed to the State; monitoring all oil and natural gas wells for compliance with unitization agreements and other production-related information; and processing tax and royalty payments due to the State. The integrated database gives the State enhanced capabilities to compare and evaluate production, tax and royalty reports, and to issue automated exception reports.

Severance taxes received by the TRD are deposited into the Oil and Gas Suspense Fund. Using the ONGARD system, the TRD reconciles monthly information reports with the payments received to identify the appropriate amounts to distribute to each tax beneficiary. Oil and gas severance tax amounts that have been reconciled and as to which TRD has determined no substantive risk of protest or litigation is present are then transferred monthly to the Bonding Fund.

TABLE 10

**New Mexico Oil, Natural Gas and CO₂ Subject to Taxation
Fiscal Years Ended June 30**

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>
<u>Oil</u>					
Sales Volume (million barrels)	60.0	62.3	62.9	68.8	80.0
Value (millions)	\$5,628	\$4,037	\$4,470	\$5,840	\$7,175
Average Price (per barrel)	\$93.74	\$64.84	\$71.29	\$84.60	\$89.68
<u>Natural Gas</u>					
Sales Volume (bcf)	1,429	1,387	1,294	1,245	1,225.6
Value (millions)	\$11,447	\$7,780	\$6,681	\$6,837	\$6,133
Average Price (per mcf)	\$7.53	\$5.61	\$5.16	\$5.49	\$5.00
<u>CO₂</u>					
Sales Volume (bcf)	101.0	105.1	123.4	120.7 ⁽¹⁾	113.1
Value (millions)	\$123.6	\$106.6	\$128.4	\$150.0 ⁽¹⁾	\$149.6
Average Price (per mcf)	\$1.22	\$1.01	\$1.04	\$1.24	\$1.32

Source: *New Mexico Taxation and Revenue Department, Tax Analysis, Research and Statistics Office (ONGARD, sales month basis as of December 2012).*

Severance Taxes on Oil, Natural Gas, and Carbon Dioxide

The State collects taxes on the severance and sale of oil, natural gas and carbon dioxide (“CO₂”). Table 11 summarizes the history of statutory tax rates imposed on natural gas, oil, and CO₂. Tax rates are set by statute, Section 7-29-4 NMSA 1978, and are levied on the volume and/or value of product sold. With the exceptions noted below, tax rates have been imposed on an *ad valorem* basis, with deductions allowed for royalties paid to governments and also for certain expenses of transporting and processing products downstream of the production facility.

TABLE 11

History of Severance Tax Rates on Oil, Natural Gas and Carbon Dioxide

<u>Year of Statutory Change</u>	<u>Natural Gas</u>	<u>Oil</u>	<u>Carbon Dioxide</u>
1959	2.500%	2.500%	–
1974	3.750%	3.750%	–
1977	\$0.050/mcf + surtax	\$0.450/bbl + surtax	–
1980	\$0.087/mcf + surtax	3.750%	–
1987	3.750%	3.750%	3.750%

Source: *New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration.*

Oil and Natural Gas Incentives

Although the State offers reduced severance tax rates for several categories of production, prices are above the threshold level, so none of these incentives are presently applicable. Table 12 summarizes incentive tax rates applying to various categories of production as of Fiscal Year 2012. Should prices decline in the future, some of these incentives may become applicable again.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

TABLE 12**Oil and Natural Gas Tax Incentive Programs**

Incentive Category	Incentive Tax Rate	Threshold Price Below Which Incentive Rate Applies	Qualified Production As a Percent of Fiscal Year 2009 Total
Production Restoration Project	0.0000% ⁽¹⁾	\$24.00 per barrel ⁽²⁾ \$24.00 per barrel ⁽²⁾	0.0% Oil ⁽⁴⁾ 0.0% Natural Gas ⁽⁴⁾
Well workover wells	2.4500%	\$24.00 per barrel ⁽²⁾ \$24.00 per barrel ⁽²⁾	0.0% Oil ⁽⁴⁾ 0.0% Natural Gas ⁽⁴⁾
Stripper wells	1.8750%	\$ 1.15 per mcf-Gas ⁽³⁾ \$15.00 per barrel-Oil ⁽³⁾	0.0% Natural Gas ⁽⁴⁾ 0.0% Oil ⁽⁴⁾
	2.8125%	\$ 1.35 per mcf-Gas ⁽³⁾ \$18.00 per barrel-Oil ⁽²⁾	0.0% Natural Gas ⁽⁴⁾ 0.0% Oil ⁽⁴⁾
Enhanced oil recovery	1.8750%	\$28.00 per barrel ⁽²⁾	0.0% Oil ⁽⁴⁾

⁽¹⁾ The incentive rate applies for 10 years after the restoration project is completed. Each year's production is tested against the threshold price.

⁽²⁾ Twelve-month average price for West Texas Intermediate crude oil as reported on Oil Postings for last day of each month.

⁽³⁾ Average annual taxable value of natural gas or oil sold in New Mexico during the preceding calendar year.

⁽⁴⁾ No oil or natural gas volumes are expected to qualify for these incentives during the period because average taxable value exceeds the threshold levels.

Source: Sections 7-29A and 7-29B, *New Mexico Statutes, Annotated 1978*.

Severance Tax on Indian Land

The State can tax non-Indian oil and natural gas production on tribal land, according to United States Supreme Court precedent in *Cotton Petroleum Co. v. State of New Mexico*, 490 U.S. 163, 104 L. Ed. 2d 209, 109 S. Ct. 1968 (1989). The State's authority to impose severance taxes on Indian oil and natural gas production on tribal land was upheld by the United States District Court in New Mexico in *Jicarilla Apache Tribe v. New Mexico Taxation and Revenue Department*, No. USDC 87-922. In 2009 however, in *Ute Mountain Ute Tribe v. Homans*, No. CIV 07-772 JP/WDS, the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico (Judge Parker) held that the State may not impose severance taxes on non-tribal operators extracting oil and natural gas on the tribal land of the Ute Mountain Ute Tribe. The Tenth Circuit Court of Appeals overturned the district court opinion and held that the State severance taxes are not preempted by federal law. *Ute Mountain Ute Tribe v. Rodriguez*, 2011 WL 3134838 (10th Cir. 2011). The Ute Mountain Ute Tribe petitioned for an en banc review, which the court denied on September 12, 2011. The United States Supreme Court denied the Ute Mountain Ute Tribe's petition for a writ of certiorari on February 21, 2012.

Information reported on the ONGARD website by TRD based on oil and gas tax return data indicates that natural gas production and crude oil production on Indian land was approximately 3.8 percent and 0.6 percent, respectively, of total taxable statewide production in Fiscal Year 2012. The TRD estimates that oil and natural gas production on Indian land generated \$7.8 million in severance tax revenues to the State in the production months corresponding to Fiscal Year 2012 (net of Intergovernmental Production Tax Credit). Coal production on Indian land was 33.9 percent of total statewide production in Fiscal Year 2012. No potash, copper or carbon dioxide (CO₂) is produced on Indian land.

Section 7-29C-1 NMSA 1978, enacted by the 1995 Legislature, authorized a credit against state production and property taxes for similar taxes imposed by tribal governments. The credit applies to crude oil and natural gas from new wells drilled on or after July 1, 1995 on land within Indian reservation boundaries on March 1, 1995. The amount of the credit is 75 percent of the lesser of state taxes or tribal taxes imposed. If the applicable tribal taxes were raised after March 1, 1995, then the amount of the State's credit is lowered. The ONGARD website reports that total credits claimed under this provision were about \$2.3 million in Fiscal Year 2012. About \$0.9 million of these credits were applied against oil and natural gas severance tax liability. The Bonding Fund revenue projection assumes that this amount will grow slowly in the future.

The 2001 Legislature enacted Sections 7-29C-2, 7-9-88.2 and 9-11-12.2 NMSA 1978, which provided a similar 75 percent intergovernmental tax credit against severance tax and severance surtax on coal mined on the Navajo Nation. The TRD reports that this credit reduced Bonding Fund revenue by \$2.7 million in Fiscal Year 2012.

The enactment of Section 7-31-27 NMSA 1978 created the Jicarilla Apache Tribal Capital Improvement Tax Credit, but this credit does not apply against the severance tax revenues due on the same production. This tax credit is a maximum of 0.7 percent of the taxable value of oil and natural gas products produced on Jicarilla Apache Tribal lands, in addition to the tax credit authorized in Section 7-29C-1 and is claimed as a reduction of emergency school tax amounts. Proceeds of the tax credit must be used exclusively to fund capital improvement projects on Jicarilla Apache tribal lands, although funds may not be used to finance commercial activity.

Carbon Dioxide

The Bravo Dome CO₂ field encompasses 1.2 million acres in Harding, Union and Quay Counties in the northeastern area of the State. It contains estimated resources of 16.3 trillion cubic feet, of which 7.0 to 10.6 trillion cubic feet are considered economically recoverable. Although the State has long produced limited quantities of liquid and solid CO₂ for use in the food and the engineering industries, the main commercial value of CO₂ deposits is derived from the use of the product in its gaseous form in enhanced oil recovery projects in the Permian Basin of Texas and New Mexico. Future sales ultimately will depend on the CO₂ requirements of such projects and on the State's proportion of the market, which is shared with Colorado's Sheep Mountain and Little Sheep Mountain, McElmo Dome and Doe Creek Fields. Sales of CO₂ were approximately 113.1 bcf in Fiscal Year 2012, a decrease of 6 percent from 120.7 bcf during Fiscal Year 2011. The weighted average wellhead price of CO₂ sales for Fiscal Year 2012 was \$1.32 per mcf reported at the production facility, an increase of 6 percent from 1.24 per mcf during Fiscal Year 2011. Severance Taxes on CO₂ are levied at the rate of 3.75 percent of taxable sales value. Deductions have ranged from 3.9 percent in Fiscal Year 2005 to 24.4 percent in Fiscal Year 2012. Weighted average deductions were 25.2 percent in Fiscal Year 2012.

History of Severance Tax and Severance Tax Surtax on Coal Production

Severance taxes have been levied on coal production in New Mexico since 1937. Revenues were distributed to the State general fund until adoption of the Severance Tax Bonding Act in 1961. Tax rates were imposed on an ad valorem basis, 0.125 percent of value from 1937 to 1974, and 0.5 percent of value from 1974 to 1977. In 1977, rates were converted to \$0.38 per short ton for seam coal and \$0.18 per short ton for metallurgical coal. A severance surtax was also imposed. Subsequent rates were to be determined annually by multiplying the severance tax per short ton by the percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index ("CPI") from 1976 to the calendar year just prior to the year in which the surtax rates were to be computed. The base severance tax rate was then increased to the current base rate of \$0.57 per ton in 1980. A slightly reduced base rate of \$0.55 per ton was provided for underground-mined coal in 1982.

Effective July 1, 1989, the severance surtax was frozen for a period of four years at \$0.60 per ton for surface coal and \$0.58 per ton for underground coal. When added to the base tax rate, this action had the effect of freezing the total rate at \$1.17 per ton for surface coal and \$1.13 per ton for underground coal. The surtax freeze was to terminate on July 1, 1993.

In 1990 the Legislature exempted from the surtax coal sold under new contracts entered into on or after July 1, 1990, and before July 1, 1994. The exemption also extended to incremental sales under existing contracts measured by the increase in sales over the annual average established in Fiscal Years 1987 to 1989. In 1992 the exemption was extended to incremental sales under renegotiated contracts.

In 1993 the exemption was extended to July 1, 1994. The annual increase was to be based upon the Producer Price Index ("PPI") for coal instead of the CPI. The surtax formula provides that in no case will the surtax be decreased, so a fall in the PPI for coal will not trigger a drop in the surtax rate. In 1994 the exemption was extended to July 1, 1995. In 1995 it was extended to July 1, 1997. In 1997 it was extended to July 1, 1999.

Pursuant to actions of the 1999 Legislature, currently the following coal is exempt from the surtax: (1) coal sold and delivered pursuant to genuinely new contracts entered into on or after July 1, 1990; (2) coal sold and delivered pursuant to contracts already in effect on July 1, 1990, that exceeds the annualized average calendar year deliveries under the contract during production years 1987, 1988 and 1989 or the highest contract minimum during these three years, whichever is greater, unless the deliveries are reduced due to causes beyond the reasonable control of either party to the contract; (3) if a contract existing on July 1, 1990, and renegotiated after May 20, 1992, requires the purchaser to take annual coal deliveries in excess of the greater of the average calendar year deliveries from 1987-1989 or the highest annual contract minimum from 1987-1989, the surtax does not apply to such excess deliveries for the remaining term of the renegotiated contract. Taxpayers were required to register any contract for the sale of qualified coal with the department prior to taking the exemption.

After a number of years of stable revenues, 2011 marked a significant decrease of coal severance tax from \$21.8 million in Fiscal Year 2010 to \$12.4 million in Fiscal Year 2011. This decrease was caused by renegotiation of several long term mine-mouth contracts. Coal sold and delivered under the new contracts is exempt from the coal surtax. The resources excise tax collections increased by 2.6 percent from \$4.85 million in Fiscal Year 2010 to \$5.0 million in Fiscal Year 2011.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

The table below sets forth data on coal production, pricing and average tax rates for the past five fiscal years.

TABLE 13

**Coal Production, Prices, Revenues, and Taxes
Fiscal Years 2008 to 2012**

	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2011</u>	<u>2012</u>
Production:					
Total Sales Volume (tons)	22,801,290	25,482,801	23,213,759	24,202,247	23,024,642
Surface Mined Surtax Exempt	5,862,728	7,671,768	7,144,637	16,148,057	16,413,332
Surface Mined Non-Exempt	11,080,122	11,618,253	10,019,543	1,768,875	533,727
Underground Mined Surtax Exempt	5,858,440	6,192,780	6,049,579	6,285,315	6,077,582
Prices:					
Weighted Average Price per Ton for All Coal	\$29.18	\$31.09	\$28.97	\$29.55	33.65
Sales Revenue:					
Total Sales Revenue	\$665,449,922	\$792,304,607	\$672,551,118	\$715,148,886	774,782,796
Taxes Collected and Intergovernmental Tax Credits (ITC):					
Gross Severance Tax and Severance Surtax Due	\$ 21,743,664	\$ 24,044,491	\$ 23,330,785	\$ 15,668,404	13,626,955
Intergovernmental Tax Credit (ITC)	\$4,534,144	\$3,810,231	\$ 2,257,604	\$ 3,267,415	2,747,567
Net Severance Tax and Severance Surtax Liability (Net of ITC)	\$ 17,209,520	\$ 20,234,260	\$ 21,073,181	\$ 12,400,989	10,879,388
Effective Taxes (Net of ITC):					
Effective Tax Rate	2.59%	2.55%	3.13%	1.73%	1.40%
Effective Tax per Ton for all Coal (Net of ITC)	\$0.75	\$0.79	\$0.91	\$0.51	0.47

Source: *New Mexico Taxation and Revenue Department, Tax Analysis, Research and Statistics Office (the "GenTax System").*

Severance Taxes on Other Minerals

Many other minerals and natural resources are taxed in the State upon their severance from the earth or, in some cases, their sale or shipment. Existing tax rates are listed in Table 14. In many cases, flat percentage deductions are allowed to account for certain production costs. The result is that the taxable value is a percentage of the "full value." The "full value," in turn, is sometimes based upon published prices rather than actual revenues. Generally, for products with a price at the point of production, value is that price less deductions allowed for actual costs for hoisting, loading, and crushing of up to 50 percent of price. For products that must be processed before sale, deductions are allowed for cost of processing and freight charges to the point of sale. Several exceptions apply, as detailed below.

For potash, the gross value is 40 percent of the posted field or market price, less those actual expenses of hoisting, crushing and loading necessary to place the severed product in marketable form and at a marketable price but allowable deductions may not exceed 50 percent. The gross value for each type of potash and potash product requiring processing or beneficiation (other than sizing) is 33.33 percent of the proceeds realized from the sale of muriate of potash and sulphate of potash magnesia, as standard grades, and 33.33 percent of the value of such products consumed in the production of other potash products, less 50 percent of such reported value as a deduction for expenses of hoisting, loading, crushing, processing, and beneficiation.

Gross values for copper, lead and zinc are 66.66 percent of specified Comex, London Metal Exchange cash price, London Metal Exchange Final, and London spot, U.S. Equivalent, respectively, as published in *Metals Week*. The gross value for gold is the sales value established from published price

date of the quantity of gold recoverable from the concentrate or other product which is sold or is shipped, transmitted or transported out of New Mexico without sale. The gross value for silver is 80 percent of the sales value established from published price date of the quantity of silver recoverable from the concentrate or other product which is sold or is shipped, transmitted or transported out of New Mexico without sale. For molybdenum, gross value is the value of molybdenum contained in concentrates shipped or sold from a mine site, but in no event shall it be less than market value. For copper, lead, zinc, gold, silver and molybdenum, deductions of 50 percent of the sales value are allowed for the expenses of hoisting, loading, crushing, processing and beneficiation, regardless of actual expenses incurred.

For sand and gravel, in the absence of substantial evidence of a different posted field or market price, it is presumed that the gross value is \$1.75 per ton. In the absence of evidence of lower deductible expenses the maximum 50 percent deduction will be allowed. In determining taxable value, rent and royalty payments to the federal government or the State government are deductible from gross value.

TABLE 14
Severance Tax Rates on Other Minerals

<u>Mineral Resources</u>	<u>Tax Rate</u>	<u>Taxable Value as Percent of Full Value</u>
Potash	2.500%	Not taxed
Copper	0.500	16.67
Timber, Pumice, Gypsum, Clay, Fluorspar, Other	0.125	Not taxed
Molybdenum	0.125	50.00
Lead, Zinc	0.125	16.67
Gold	0.200	50.00
Silver	0.200	30.00
Uranium	3.500	50.00

Source: New Mexico Taxation and Revenue Department, Tax Analysis, Research and Statistics Office.

Severance tax revenue from potash was \$2.0 million in Fiscal Year 2012, up from \$1.9 million in Fiscal Year 2011. Severance tax revenue from copper, which is limited because of the statutorily defined narrow tax base, was \$571,012 in Fiscal Year 2012, a significant increase from \$395,824 in Fiscal Year 2011 after peaking at \$760,288 in Fiscal Year 2008. Weighted average copper prices were \$4.09 per pound in Fiscal Year 2012.

GENERAL INFORMATION CONCERNING THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

The State, admitted as the forty-seventh state on January 6, 1912, is the fifth largest state, containing approximately 121,593 square miles. The current population of the State is 2,082,224. The State has a semiarid subtropical climate with light precipitation. Its climate is characterized by sunshine and bright skies in both winter and summer. Every part of the State receives no less than 70 percent sunshine year-round. Humidity ranges from 30 to 60 percent. Thunderstorms in July and August bring most of the moisture. December to March snowfalls vary from 2 inches (lower Rio Grande Valley) to 300 inches (north central mountains).

Governmental Organization

The Executive Branch of State government consists of a Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Secretary of State, State Auditor, State Treasurer, Attorney General, and Commissioner of Public Lands. These officials are elected to four-year terms beginning January 1 after their election. An elected Executive Branch officer may succeed himself or herself in office once. The primary functions of the

Executive Branch are currently carried out by the offices of each elected Executive Branch officeholder, in addition to approximately 22 cabinet departments, each headed by a cabinet secretary appointed by the Governor and approved by the Legislature, and approximately 9 cabinet-level agencies. Elections for all statewide offices were held on November 2, 2010.

The State Board of Finance has seven voting members consisting of the Governor, the Lieutenant Governor, the State Treasurer, and four members appointed by the Governor with the advice and consent of the Senate. No more than two appointed members may be from the same political party. The Department of Finance and Administration (the "DFA") Secretary serves as the Executive Officer of the Board and is a non-voting Board member. The Board, in addition to other powers and duties provided by law, has general supervisory authority over the fiscal affairs of the State and over the safekeeping and depositing of all money and securities belonging to, or in the custody of, the State. The Governor serves as the President of the Board.

The DFA is the principal financial organization of State government and performs through its divisions the duties and functions relating to State and local government financing and general administration. The executive and administrative head of the DFA is the Secretary, who is appointed by the Governor with the advice and consent of the Senate. The State Board of Finance is a division of the DFA. The Director of the Board is appointed by the Secretary with the approval of the Board.

The Legislature consists of 112 members and is divided into a Senate and a House of Representatives. Senators are elected for four-year terms and members of the House are elected for two-year terms. The Legislature convenes in regular session annually on the third Tuesday in January. Regular sessions are constitutionally limited in length to 60 calendar days in odd-numbered years and 30 calendar days in even-numbered years. Special sessions of the Legislature may be convened by the Governor. Extraordinary sessions may be convened by the Legislature under certain limited circumstances. Legislators do not receive any salary, but do receive per diem and mileage allowances while in session or performing official State business.

The judicial branch is composed of a statewide system including Magistrate and District Courts, the Court of Appeals and the Supreme Court. The District Courts are the trial courts of record with general jurisdiction.

Pension and Other Retirement Funds and Benefits

Legislative History. As with many other states, the State has ongoing funding issues regarding its pension and other retirement funds. In Fiscal Year 2012, the State experienced continued deterioration in the funded ratios and increases in the accrued liabilities of its two pension programs. As set forth below, steps have been proposed by the program funds to reform pension programs and to better ensure the continued viability of the programs.

The Legislature enacted the Educational Retirement Act, Section 22-11-1 et seq. NMSA 1978, the Public Employees Retirement Act, Section 10-11-1 et seq. NMSA 1978, and the Retiree Health Care Act, Sections 10-7C-1 through 10-7C-19, NMSA 1978, which created the retirement plans that cover most employees of the State and its political subdivisions. These retirement plans are managed by the Educational Retirement Board ("ERB"), the Public Employees Retirement Association ("PERA"), and the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority ("NMRHCA") and described below. The Legislature establishes all financing provisions of the plans and the provisions are not subject to negotiation. Financing provisions include employee and employer contributions, fund investment provisions and benefit provisions. In 1998, the voters amended the State Constitution, adopting Section 22 of Article XX, which states that upon meeting the minimum service requirements of an applicable

retirement plan created by law for employees of the State or any of its political subdivisions or institutions, a member of a plan shall acquire a vested property right with due process protections under the applicable provisions of the New Mexico and United States Constitutions. As with other pension funds nationwide, New Mexico's pension funds were affected by the significant market turmoil related to the downturn in the nation's economy that began in 2008. While as of June 30, 2012, the funds had recovered substantially all of the losses incurred during the 2008 – early 2009 period, issues persist regarding the long-term financial status of the funds.

Recent legislative changes enacted during the 2009, 2010 and 2011 legislative sessions also amended various provisions of the Educational Retirement Act, the Public Employees Retirement Act, and the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Act to improve the long-term stability of these funds.

House Bill 573 (Chapter 288, Laws 2009) increased the years of service requirement from 25 years to 30 years for new members who joined ERB and PERA on or after July 1, 2010. Existing ERB and PERA members remain under the 25-year service requirement. In addition, House Bill 573 increased payroll contributions by employers and employees to the New Mexico Retiree Health Care Fund (the "NMRHCF"). House Bill 573 also included training requirements for members of the ERB and PERA boards.

House Bill 631 (Chapter 286, Laws 2009) added a section to the Educational Retirement Act to extend the rule whereby ERB members could retire with full benefits if their combined service and age at retirement met or exceeded 75, to a combined service and age at retirement of 80 for ERB members hired after July 1, 2010.

House Bill 351 (Chapter 287, Laws 2009) increased the employer/employee contribution to the NMRHCF from 1.95 percent to 3 percent for most employers and employees. The contribution increase was slightly higher for employees in an enhanced retirement plan. The increase is being phased-in over a four-year period. Due to the passage of this legislation, the NMRHCA estimates that solvency will be extended from approximately 2018 to 2027.

House Bill 854 (Chapter 124, Laws 2009) modified most employer and employee contributions to the State's retirement funds for Fiscal Years 2010 and 2011, shifting 1.5 percent of the annual contribution rate from employers to employees for those employees with a full-time equivalent annual salary greater than \$20,000.

House Bill 628 (Chapter 178, Laws 2011) extended the existing 1.5 percent shift made in House Bill 854 through Fiscal Year 2013 and shifted an additional 1.75 percent of the annual contribution rate from employers to employees for Fiscal Year 2012, with the potential of that shift continuing in Fiscal Year 2013 if the Secretary of the DFA certified to the Legislative Finance Committee, the ERB and PERA that: (a) general fund revenues in Fiscal Year 2012 would not be at least \$100 million more than forecast to be in the Fiscal Year 2012 budget; and, (b) total state reserve funds at the end of Fiscal Year 2012 would be less than 5 percent or more of general fund appropriations in Fiscal Year 2012. In January 2012, the Secretary of the DFA indicated that the requirements to continue the additional 1.75 percent contribution shift would not be met. Accordingly, the additional 1.75 percent of the annual contribution rate shifted back from the employees back to the employers for Fiscal Year 2013. The 1.5 percent contribution shift from the employers to the employees remains in place through Fiscal Year 2013. House Bill 628 also required an actuarial study by PERA and ERB prior to September 20, 2013 to analyze whether contribution rate changes have had an adverse actuarial effect on the retirement systems.

House Bill 129 (Chapter 6, Laws 2011) required retired members who return to work with an educational employer pursuant to Paragraphs A, B or F of Section 22-11-25.1 NMSA 1978 to pay non-refundable contributions to the Educational Retirement Fund equal to the amount paid by non-retired employees. Formerly, the employers had paid those contributions. Employers continue to pay the employers' contributions to the Fund for retired members who return to work under the statute.

Several bills have been introduced in the 2013 Legislative Session that, if enacted, would impact the State's pension and other retirement funds and benefits. Senate Bill 25 would amend the Judicial Retirement Act and the Magistrate Retirement Act to provide age and service credit requirements for judges who initially take office on or after July 1, 2013.

Senate Bill 26 would authorize PERA to determine and impose supplemental member contribution rates and cost-of-living adjustments for members and retired members. The rate of the supplemental contribution would be determined annually.

Senate Bill 27, the proposal of the PERA Board, would, among other things, amend the Public Employees Retirement Act to reduce the cost-of-living adjustments for all retirees; delay the cost-of-living adjustment for certain future retirees; suspend the cost-of-living adjustment for certain return-to-work retirees; provide for an increase in employee and employer contributions; and clarify definitions. The bill would provide that municipal employers will not pay any portion of the 1.5 percent increase in employee contributions effective July 1, 2013 without passing a resolution or executing a collective bargaining agreement, and that municipalities may by subsequent resolution or agreement elect to pay a portion of employee contribution increases that may occur after July 1, 2013. The bill would also clarify how service credit earned under multiple coverage plans will be calculated; change the benefits for members initially employed after June 30, 2013 by reducing the multiplier and increasing the number of years used to calculate the final average salary, increasing the vesting period, increasing age and service requirements for normal retirement and increasing the maximum pension benefit; and limit plan enhancements.

Senate Bill 71 would, among other things, amend the Retiree Health Care Act by increasing the employer and employee contribution rates paid to the Retiree Health Care Fund.

Senate Bill 86 would amend the Public Employees Retirement Act and Educational Retirement Act to provide a limit in the calculation of the final average salary of non-public safety members.

Senate Bill 114 would provide an opportunity for state legislators to make up for pension contributions missed before 2004 and thereby qualify for State Legislator member Coverage Plan 1. In order to qualify for the pension plan, a member is required to make a contribution of \$200 for each year of service, less the amount of prior contributions; plus interest on this amount for the period from December 31, 2003 to the date of payment.

Senate Bill 115 would amend the Educational Retirement Act to increase certain members' contribution rates; impose a minimum retirement age; delay eligibility for the cost-of-living adjustment; and increase age and service requirements.

Senate Bill 121 would add an exception for chiefs of police and undersheriffs to the return-to-work restrictions in the Public Employees Retirement Act.

Senate Bill 168 would add an exception for state police members, adult correctional members and municipal police members to the return-to-work restrictions in the Public Employees Retirement Act and

establish conditions by which those members may return to work while continuing to receive retirement benefits.

House Bill 64 would amend the Education Retirement Act to increase member contributions for all members to 10.1 percent in Fiscal Year 2014 and 10.7 percent in Fiscal Year 2015. Employer contribution rates, including planned increases in Fiscal Years 2014 and 2015, would remain as presently scheduled in statute. In addition, the proposal would create a new Tier 3 membership effective for all persons who became members of the Educational Retirement Fund on or after July 1, 2013. Under the proposed tier, members who retired with 30 years of service before the age of 55 would have their benefits reduced to the actuarial equivalent of receiving the benefits at the age of 55. Alternatively, members could retire under the so called Rule of 80 (age plus years of earned service credit equal to 80), with substantial and permanent reductions to benefits of those members who retired before the age of 65 or at the age of 67 with five years of earned service credit. Tier 3 members would not be eligible to receive a cost-of-living allowance (“COLA”) until they were the age of 67, an increase of two years from the current age of 65 required for Tier 1 and Tier 2 members. The ERB’s actuaries estimate that the Fund would reach a funded ratio of 69.7 percent by 2030 and 90.5 percent by 2043. The ERB has stated that it will continue to support amendments to the Education Retirement Act based on shared responsibility among members, retirees and employers; intergenerational equity; long term sustainability and preservation of the defined benefit plan; and maintaining a similar benefit structure for all members, current and future, over time.

House Bill 95 would amend the Judicial Retirement Act and the Magistrate Retirement Act increasing employer contribution rates; increase age and service requirements for normal retirement; decrease the pension multiplier for service credit earned after June 30, 2013; decrease and delay the cost of living adjustment and increase the maximum pension benefit; and make an appropriation to improve the funded ratios of the pension funds.

House Bill 96 would permit the conversion of unused sick leave to service credit for the purposes of educational retirement by amending the Educational Retirement Act.

House Bill 147 would amend the Public Employee Retirement Act to modify pension suspension requirements for the return to employment by retirees beginning July 1, 2013; require that the pension of the retired PERA member who returns to work be suspended effective the first day of the month following the month in which the previously retired member has earned \$15,000 or more during a calendar year; and provide that when a member returns to retirement after less than three years of subsequent employment, that member’s pension payments resume as before.

Educational Retirement Board-Historic Financial Information. The ERB had 132,223 members as of June 30, 2012, including active, retired, inactive vested and inactive non-vested members. The market value of Educational Retirement Fund (the “Fund”) as of June 30, 2012 was \$9.5 billion, which was up from a low of \$6.0 billion in February 2009. The ERB had investment gains for the Fiscal Year 2012 of 1.6 percent. Based on preliminary data, the market value of the Fund as of December 31, 2012 increased to \$9.9 billion. Investment returns for the quarter and 12-month period ending December 31, 2012 were 1.4 percent and 13.6 percent, respectively.

In April 2011, the ERB voted to change its assumed rate of return on the pension fund’s investments from 8.0 percent to 7.75 percent. As of June 30, 2012, the actuarial value of assets was \$9.5 billion and the unfunded accrued actuarial liability (“UAAL”) was \$6.2 billion based on the 7.75 percent assumed rate of return. Others changes were made to the ERB’s actuarial assumptions in April 2011, the most significant of which included: changing the funding method to traditional individual entry age normal; revising post-retirement mortality to reflect slightly longer life

expectancy; changing retirement rates at ages 65 and 69 and with 25 or more years of service; decreasing salary increases for members with 10 or more years of service; and decreasing membership growth assumptions. The combined effect of the changes in actuarial assumptions, including to the assumed investment return rate, increased the UAAL by \$426.1 million. Based on the 7.75 percent assumed rate of return and the other changes described, the estimated funding ratio (ratio of the actuarial value of assets to actuarial accrued liability) as of June 30, 2011 was 63.0 percent. The funded ratio on June 30, 2012 was 60.7 percent.

The change in the assumed rate of return was recommended by the ERB's outside actuaries and was made after consultations with the ERB's internal investment staff and its general investment consultant. The ERB will continue to monitor both its investment returns and general market conditions and may again change its assumed rate of return as market conditions and experience warrant.

As of June 30, 2012, the UAAL had an infinite amortization period based on the 7.75 percent assumed rate of return. The amortization period, also referred to as the funding period, is a calculation based on actuarial models of the period required to amortize the UAAL, assuming ERB's experience exactly follows actuarial assumptions. As required by Governmental Standards Accounting Board ("GASB") Statement 25, the calculation is based on current contribution rates and does not take into account the statutorily scheduled increases in those rates described below.

Member and employer contribution rates are established by State statute. In 2005, the Legislature amended Section 22-11-21 NMSA 1978 to increase the employer contribution rate by 0.75 percent for each of the seven years beginning July 1, 2005, and to increase member contribution rates by 0.075 percent for each of the four years beginning July 1, 2005. In the 2009 regular legislative session, the Legislature modified employer and member contribution rates for Fiscal Years 2010 and 2011 to shift 1.5 percent of the employer contribution rate to members whose annual salary exceeds \$20,000, resulting in a member contribution rate of 9.4 percent. In the 2011 regular legislative session, the Legislature again modified employer and member contribution rates for Fiscal Year 2012 to extend the 1.5 percent shift and add an additional 1.75 percent shift; for members whose salary exceeds \$20,000, the Fiscal Year 2012 contribution rate was 11.15 percent. As a result of the expiration of the 1.75 percent contribution shift described above, the contribution rate for those members whose salary exceeds \$20,000 is 9.4 percent in Fiscal Year 2013. For those members whose annual salary is \$20,000 or less, the contribution rate remains at 7.9 percent.

In both the 2010 and the 2011 regular legislative sessions, the Legislature also modified employer contribution rates. In Fiscal Years 2010 and 2011, the employer contribution rate for members whose salary is greater than \$20,000 was 10.9 percent. The employer contribution rate for those members was 9.15 percent in Fiscal Year 2012. The employer contribution rate in Fiscal Year 2013 has returned to 10.9 percent. The contribution rate for members whose salary is \$20,000 or less is 12.4 percent in Fiscal Years 2010, 2011, 2012, and 2013. In Fiscal Year 2014, the employer contribution rate for all members employed, regardless of salary, will increase to 13.15 percent. Beginning in Fiscal Year 2015, the employer contribution rate will be 13.9 percent for all members employed. As noted above, GASB Statement 25 does not permit the consideration of contribution rates not yet in effect, so the funding period is reported based on the contribution rates actually in effect as of the date the contribution is made. In addition, New Mexico universities and colleges make an additional contribution of 3 percent of the salary of those employees who elect to participate in the Alternative Retirement Plan ("ARP"), a defined contribution retirement plan available to certain faculty and professional employees, to satisfy the UAAL attributable to participation in the ARP.

ERB pensions are adjusted annually beginning on the later of either July 1 of the year in which a member reaches age 65 or July 1 following the year a member retires. The adjustment is ½ of the CPI percentage increase, except that it will not exceed 4 percent or be less than 2 percent in absolute value. If the absolute value of the CPI percentage increase is less than 2 percent, the adjustment is the same as the CPI percentage increase. Pensions cannot be decreased if there is a decrease in the CPI.

In 2010, the ERB changed the manner in which interest was calculated on members' contributions that are subject to refund so that it would be calculated in a manner similar to a savings account. As a result of a programming error, interest was miscalculated on refunds during July and August 2010 leading to overpayment of approximately \$1.7 million to 693 individuals. The software was corrected after staff became aware of the error. Subsequently, the ERB undertook steps to recover the overpayments. To date, between \$1.1 and \$1.2 million has been recovered. The ERB has entered into payment plans with a number of the recipients through which it expects to recover additional funds and is continuing to pursue recovery from others who were overpaid.

In September 2011, the ERB adopted goals of achieving an 80 percent funded ratio by 2030 and a 95 percent funded ratio by 2040. To achieve these goals, following a series of statewide outreach meetings and a poll of active and retired members, in December 2011 the ERB endorsed proposed legislation that was introduced in the 2012 legislative session to amend the Education Retirement Act to establish a minimum age of 55 to receive retirement benefits, reduce the annual CPI-based adjustment described above for members age 65 and older by one-eighth overall with a maximum possible increase of 3.5 percent, and permanently increase all member contributions to 9.9 percent by July 1, 2017 and all employer contributions to 13.9 percent by July 1, 2018. The proposal passed the Senate and was favorably reported out of House committees. The session adjourned, however, before the full House could consider it.

The ERB will continue to support amendments to the Educational Retirement Act based on the following framework: shared responsibility among members, retirees and employers; intergenerational equity (i.e., the costs of achieving the goals must be borne by current members, retirees and employers, as well as future members); long term sustainability and preservation of the defined benefit plan; and, maintaining a similar benefit structure for all members, current and future, over time.

The Public Employees Retirement Association-Historic Financial Information. PERA had 85,106 members as of June 30, 2012. As of September 30, 2012, the total market value of PERA Fund was \$12.3 billion. The September 30, 2012 quarterly PERA total fund investment return was 5.07 percent and the 12 month total fund investment return as of that date was 16.7 percent. On a preliminary basis, the market value of the PERA Fund as of December 31, 2012 increased to \$12.4 billion with an investment return of 2.01 percent for the quarter.

Cavanaugh Macdonald Consulting, LLC completed an actuarial valuation of the PERA Fund, Judicial Retirement Fund, Magistrate Fund, Legislative Division and Volunteer Firefighter Fund ("VFF") as of June 30, 2012. In July 2012, the PERA Board accepted the actuary's revised assumptions that the assets, benefit values, reserves and computed contribution rates reflect utilization of an inflation rate of 3.5 percent per annum, a real rate of return on investment of 7.75 percent and salary increases for longevity and merit at 4.0 percent, each compounded annually.

Actuarial information for each fund as of June 30, 2012 is shown in Table 15.

TABLE 15

Summary of State Retirement Funds Managed by PERA
(Dollars in thousands)

	PERA⁽¹⁾	Judicial	Magistrate	VFF	Legislative
Membership	85,106	263	140	6,638	294
<u>Actuarial Information</u>					
Accrued Liability ⁽²⁾	\$17,788,044	\$147,923	\$58,037	\$ 28,219	\$27,429
Actuarial Value of Assets ⁽³⁾	\$11,612,047	\$ 75,507	\$30,878	\$ 47,382	\$25,168
Unfunded (Overfunded) Accrued Liability	\$ 6,175,997	\$ 72,416	\$27,158	\$ (19,162)	\$ 2,260
Present Value of Statutory Obligations	\$21,300,016	\$174,822	\$63,225	\$ 31,671	\$30,288

⁽¹⁾ Includes both the state and municipal divisions.

⁽²⁾ Includes the accrued liability of both the retired and active members.

⁽³⁾ The valuation of assets is based on an actuarial value of assets whereby gains and losses relative to an 7.75 percent annual return are smoothed in over a four-year period.

Source: Public Employees Retirement Association.

As of June 30, 2012, PERA has an amortization or funding period designated as infinite, based on the employer and member contribution rates in effect as of July 1, 2012. Member and employer rates are established pursuant to Section 10-11-1 through 10-11-141 NMSA 1978. The funded ratio (ratio of the actuarial value of assets to accrued actuarial liability) was 65.3 percent as of June 30, 2012 and the UAAL of the PERA Fund has been calculated to be approximately \$6.2 billion. As of June 30, 2011, the funded ratio was 70.5 percent and the UAAL of the PERA Fund was calculated to be approximately \$4.8 billion. The primary cause of the deterioration of the funded ratio and increase in accrued actuarial liability is the investment losses applied over the four plan years between 2009 and 2012. On a market value basis, PERA's funded ratio is approximately 65.1 percent as of June 30, 2012. The PERA Board has reviewed the results of its June 30, 2012 actuarial valuation, which indicates that additional contributions and significant benefit reforms are required in order to properly fund the PERA retirement plans. If adopted by the Legislature and signed by the Governor, the PERA Board's reform proposal (Senate Bill 27) will have an immediate impact on reducing the \$6.2 billion unfunded liability and restore the fund to approximately 100 percent funded status by 2042.

New Mexico Retiree Health Care Authority-Historic Financial Information. The RHCA was enacted for the purpose of providing comprehensive group health insurance coverage for persons who have retired from certain public service positions in the State and their eligible dependents. NMRHCA offers both pre Medicare and Medicare plans, as well as dental, vision and life insurance plans to eligible retirees. There were approximately 51,000 enrolled members as of July 2012 and approximately 300 participating public entities.

While NMRHCA experienced an investment loss in Fiscal Year 2012 of approximately 2 percent, NMRHCA has been able to add over \$50 million to its trust fund over the past two years. NMRHCA's market value as of December 31, 2012 was \$256.5 million.

The Retiree Health Care Act provides that the benefits offered to retired public employees may be modified, diminished or extinguished by the Legislature, and that the Act does not create any contract, trust or other rights in public employees to health care benefits.

NMRHCA, the agency that administers the Retiree Health Care Act, has a revenue base comprised of active employee payroll deductions, participating employer contributions, monthly premium contributions of enrolled participants, investment income, and amounts distributed annually from the Taxation Administration Suspense Fund (“TAA Fund”). Employer and employee contribution rates are established in statute as is the amount distributed from the TAA Fund. Respective employer/employee contribution rates were 2 percent and 1 percent of the participating employee’s salary for Fiscal Year 2012.

Based on the GASB Statement 43 valuation for Fiscal Year 2012, and assuming that the NMRHCA Fund is an equivalent arrangement to an irrevocable trust and, hence using a discount rate of 5 percent, the UAAL has been calculated to be approximately \$3.6 billion. As required by GASB Statement 43, this calculation takes into consideration only current assets of the NMRHCA Fund. The NMRHCA continues to look for additional opportunities to further strengthen the financial standing of the NMRHCA. The NMRHCA Board of Directors has passed a five-year solvency plan to ensure the long term financial stability of the program through a series of targeted benefit reductions and increases to contribution levels from participating employees and their employers. In addition to increased retiree cost sharing through plan design changes, the solvency plan calls for proportionately higher premiums for retirees who retired younger (decreased premium subsidies to pre-Medicare retirees), didn’t work or pay into the system as long (increasing years of service required to receive maximum subsidy) and decreased subsidies for family members. Taken as a whole, the plan is projected to extend the life of the NMRHCA’s trust fund into 2045.

As recently as five years ago, the NMRHCA was projected to be insolvent as early as 2014. However, actions taken by the NMRHCA decreasing subsidy levels, increasing premiums and modifying plan designs, coupled with increases in employer/employee contribution rates have extended the NMRHCA’s solvency to approximately 2029.

FINANCIAL OVERVIEW

State Auditing and Accounting Systems

The financial affairs of every agency in the State are examined and audited each year by the State Auditor, personnel of the State Auditor’s office designated by the State Auditor, or by the independent auditors approved by the State Auditor, as required by Section 12-6-3 NMSA 1978. The audits are conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. The audit reports include financial statements that are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

In July 2006, the State implemented a Statewide Human Resources, Accounting and Management Reporting System (“SHARE System”). The SHARE System replaced the State’s existing central accounting system, central payroll system, personnel system, treasury reconciliation accounting and cashing system, and 114 additional systems then in place at various State agencies. Since June 2007, the State has officially used SHARE as its books of record.

Following the transition to SHARE, the State Treasurer’s audit has contained audit findings of varying severity related to the timeliness of the book to bank reconciliation. This issue stems from certain SHARE system limitations as well as required improvements to overall SHARE business processes. In the 2009 Legislative session, an appropriation in the amount of \$1.2 million was made to DFA to address some of these issues. The material weakness related to timeliness of the book to bank reconciliation was reduced to a significant deficiency in the State Treasurer’s Fiscal Year 2010 annual financial statements, and further reduced to a control deficiency in the Fiscal Year 2011 annual financial statements.

As of June 30, 2012, the balance sheet of the General Operating Reserve Fund reports an allowance for potential loss of \$70 million with a corresponding loss provision. The potential loss is reported as a special item on the statement of revenues, appropriations and changes in fund balances, since it is considered to be unusual, and its placement within the financial reporting entity of the State of New Mexico is under the control of management. In June 2012, an independent expert diagnostic report revealed that State General Fund Investment Pool (the "Pool") balances have not been reconciled at the business unit/fund level since the inception of SHARE.

Under the direction of the State Controller/Financial Control Division Director, the Financial Control Division of the New Mexico Department of Finance & Administration (the "DFA/FCD") is taking aggressive action to address the situation. DFA/FCD has commenced the Cash Management Remediation Project (the "Remediation Project") in partnership with the New Mexico State Treasurer's Office, the New Mexico Department of Information Technology, and a contracted third party PeopleSoft Treasury expert. The purpose of the Remediation Project is to design and implement the changes necessary to reconcile the Pool in a manner that is complete, accurate, and timely. The Remediation Project is anticipated to result in changes to the SHARE configuration, cash accounting policies and procedures, business practices, and banking structure that will allow for the completion of timely and accurate reconciliation of balances on a point-forward basis only.

An approach and plan to address historical reconciling items will be developed during the Remediation Project, but a separate initiative will be undertaken to resolve the historical reconciling items. The effect of any unreconciled differences not allocable to other Pool participants will be reported in the General Operating Reserve Fund.

State Budgetary and Appropriation Process

All State agencies are required by Section 6-3-19 NMSA 1978 to submit completed budget forms to the DFA Budget Division by September 1 of each year. Guidelines and forms are provided to State agencies in advance of the September 1 deadline. Budget hearings to examine the merits of budget requests are scheduled through the fall and are usually completed by mid December. The DFA Budget Division presents comprehensive budget recommendations to the Governor, as required by Section 6-3-15(B) NMSA 1978.

The Governor is required by Section 6-3-21 NMSA 1978 to submit a budget for the upcoming fiscal year to the Legislature in early January. The Governor's budget includes the executive recommendations for public education; higher education; State agencies; and historical information on prior expenditures, revenues and revenue projections, among other information. The State budget is contained in a General Appropriation Act, which also may contain proposals for supplemental and deficiency appropriations for the current fiscal year.

Upon passage by the Legislature, the Governor may sign the General Appropriation Act, veto it, veto line items or veto parts of it. After the Governor has signed the General Appropriation Act, the DFA Budget Division approves the agency budgets and monitors the expenditure of the funds beginning on July 1, the first day of the fiscal year.

State Treasurer's Investment Responsibilities

Pursuant to Sections 6-10-10(I) through 6-10-10(N) NMSA 1978, the State Treasurer, with the advice and consent of the State Board of Finance, may invest money not immediately needed for government operations. These investment responsibilities are conducted in accordance with the State Treasurer's Investment Policy (the "Investment Policy") which is adopted by the State Treasurer and

approved by the State Board of Finance. The Investment Policy states that in keeping with the office's fiduciary responsibility, all investment decisions made by the State Treasurer will adhere to the following three fundamental principles: safety, liquidity and return. The Investment Policy applies to all financial assets of the State invested by the Treasurer in the exercise of the Treasurer's statutory authority or invested for other agencies which have specific investment authority and for which the Treasurer acts as the investing authority. The State Treasurer is the investing authority for the State's general fund, the Local Government Investment Pool, Bond Proceeds Investment Pools, and bond debt service funds.

The State Treasurer's Office invested a portion of the general fund and the State Bond Proceeds Investment Pool in the Reserve Primary Fund ("RPF"), a money market fund, in Fiscal Years 2007 and 2008 respectively. On September 15, 2008, the balance of the general fund's RPF investment was \$448.7 million, and the balance of the State Bond Proceeds Investment Pool's RPF investment was \$311.6 million. On September 16, 2008, the RPF net asset value fell below \$1.00 and holdings in the fund were frozen. Since then, RPF has returned approximately \$0.9904 per share to shareholders. On the recommendations of the independent public accounting firm performing the Fiscal Year 2011 audit of the State Treasury, the remaining positions in the RPF, \$4.33 million of the general fund and \$3.01 million of the State Bond Proceeds Investment Pool, were written-down in Fiscal Year 2011. Once all pending litigation is settled, the remaining balance of the fund will be distributed, but this final recovery of funds is expected to be quite small.

According to the Investment Policy, an Investment Committee is appointed by the State Treasurer and the Board with the specific purpose and responsibility of establishing, maintaining and administering the Investment Policy. The Investment Committee consists of five voting members: the State Treasurer, or designee; the State Treasurer's Chief Investment Officer (with the State Cash Manager as an alternate), who serves as Investment Committee Chair; the Director of the State Board of Finance or designee; and two additional members. These additional members must be participants in the private investment community or have expert knowledge or professional experience in public finance or public fund investing. One member is appointed by the State Treasurer and approved by the Board and one member is appointed by the Board and approved by the State Treasurer.

The Investment Committee is charged with the following responsibilities:

- Reviewing the Investment Policy, no less than annually, and recommending, if advisable, modifications in the Investment Policy from time to time;
- Assessing, no less than annually, the utility and efficacy of established internal controls as loss prevention measures with respect to the investment portfolio;
- Determining, no less than annually, whether legislation affecting the investment activities of the State Treasurer should be recommended;
- Recommending investment procedures that may be useful or required in maintaining currency with public money investment practices;
- Deliberating on such topics as economic outlook, portfolio diversification and maturity structure, potential risks, the target rate of return on the investment portfolio;
- Identifying potential violations of and suggesting remedial actions to achieve conformity with the Investment Policy;

- Recommending, no less than annually, action on depositories, custodians, broker/dealers and investment managers and advisors; and
- Assessing whether the Investment Policy is being properly implemented by the individuals and entities involved in the administration and management of investment activities.

In addition to Investment Committee oversight and recommendations, the State Treasurer contracts with an independent investment advisor to provide guidance and advice on investments, market conditions and benchmarks. The investment advisor provides quarterly performance reports on all of the State Treasurer's portfolios and information relating to the economic outlook and market trends.

Notice Filing

On January 14, 2013, the Board on behalf of the State filed a notice of listed event (the "Notice") in connection with the \$149,000,000 State of New Mexico Severance Tax Bonds, Series 2008A-1 (the "Series 2008A-1 Bonds") with the Municipal Securities Rule Making Board through its Electronic Municipal Marketplace Access website. The Series 2008A-1 Bonds were refunded and defeased but not redeemed. Pursuant to the Escrow Agreement, the Escrow Agent remitted the interest payment due January 1, 2013 in the amount of \$1,650,200 to the State Treasurer on January 2, 2013. The State Treasurer submitted such interest payment to the Depository Trust Company on January 8, 2013. Section 2(d)(i) of the Continuing Disclosure Undertaking dated as of June 25, 2008, executed and delivered by the Board in conjunction with the issuance and delivery of the Series 2008A-1 Bonds, requires that the Board file the Notice describing the interest payment delinquency. As a result of the delinquent interest payment, the State Treasurer's Office is evaluating its internal controls to determine what steps may be necessary, including additional training and cross-training, to avoid this oversight in the future.

General Fund

The State derives the majority of its recurring General Fund revenue from four major sources: general and selective sales taxes; income taxes; taxes and royalties on natural resource production; and investment earnings both on its two permanent funds and on cash balances.

The following table lists audited revenues, expenditures and ending fund balances for Fiscal Years 2009 through 2011, preliminary results for Fiscal Year 2012, and projections for results for Fiscal Years 2013 and 2014.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

TABLE 16

General Fund Financial Summary
Fiscal Year 2009 – Fiscal Year 2014
(Dollars in thousands)

	<u>Actual</u> <u>2009</u>	<u>Actual</u> <u>2010</u>	<u>Actual</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>Preliminary</u> <u>2012</u>	<u>Projected</u> <u>2013</u>	<u>Projected</u> <u>2014</u>
A. APPROPRIATION ACCOUNT						
Recurring Receipts:						
General and Selective Sales Taxes	\$ 2,306,913	\$ 2,058,176	\$ 2,332,524	\$ 2,428,026	\$ 2,490,100	\$ 2,570,500
Income Taxes	1,120,990	1,081,661	1,290,752	1,431,516	1,446,600	1,559,300
Severance Taxes	440,191	390,702	423,796	456,402	419,400	436,100
License Fees	50,098	50,267	49,750	49,595	50,400	51,600
Investment Income	692,544	646,325	648,431	662,588	631,000	631,300
Rents and Royalties	543,671	423,004	477,439	595,082	500,500	528,200
Miscellaneous Receipts	42,707	44,351	52,176	45,104	46,200	42,700
Tribal Revenue Sharing	65,385	64,118	65,891	68,189	72,000	73,000
Tobacco Settlement	-	-	-	-	-	-
Reversions/Adjustments	57,243	40,000	67,816	65,885	51,000	40,000
Total Recurring Receipts	5,319,742	4,798,603	5,408,575	5,802,385	5,707,300	5,932,700
Total Nonrecurring and Adjustments	371,207	479,876	62,536	14,683	(3,300)	(900)
Total Receipts	\$ 5,690,949	\$ 5,278,480	\$ 5,471,111	\$ 5,817,068	\$ 5,704,000	\$ 5,931,800
Recurring Appropriations:						
Legislative	\$ 28,465	\$ 24,577	\$ 25,549	\$ 18,278	\$ 18,042	
Judicial	217,928	206,181	194,531	190,591	199,026	
General Control	205,295	198,050	173,711	156,436	160,634	
Commerce and Industry/Exam. and Lic.	61,735	57,767	50,759	43,142	43,890	
Agriculture, Energy and Natural Resources	90,529	82,100	69,299	61,551	62,814	
Health, Hospitals and Human Services	1,526,696	1,297,961	1,232,956	1,524,724	1,584,339	
Public Safety	411,585	393,287	363,110	354,101	367,803	
Other Education	57,053	48,226	26,088	27,590	52,573	
Higher Education	884,846	817,917	766,282	716,565	757,717	
Public School Support	2,551,012	2,231,900	2,309,665	2,338,422	2,402,768	
Total Recurring Appropriations	6,035,143	5,357,966	5,211,950	5,431,400	5,649,606	5,932,700
Nonrecurring Appropriations⁽¹⁾	(80,071)	93,160	18,254	82,671	23,700	0
Total Appropriations	\$ 5,955,072	\$ 5,451,126	\$ 5,230,203	\$ 5,514,072	\$ 5,673,306	\$ 5,932,700
Transfer from/(to) Other Reserve Accounts	55,700	172,647	(804)	(40,000)		
TRANSFER FROM/(TO) OPERATING RESERVE	\$ 208,423	\$ -	\$ (240,103)	\$ (262,997)	\$ (30,694)	\$ 900

Note: Detail may not add to column total due to independent rounding.

TABLE 16

**General Fund Financial Summary
Fiscal Year 2009 – Fiscal Year 2014
(Dollars in thousands)**

	<u>Actual</u> <u>2009</u>	<u>Actual</u> <u>2010</u>	<u>Actual</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>Preliminary</u> <u>2012</u>	<u>Projected</u> <u>2013</u>	<u>Projected</u> <u>2014</u>
B. OPERATING RESERVE						
Beginning Balance	\$ 247,246	\$ 37,451	\$ 36,236	\$ 276,688	\$ 346,945	\$ 375,889
Revenues/Repayments/Reversions		-	1,366	271		
Appropriations:						
Contingencies		-	-	(70,000)		
Other Appropriations and Adjustments	(1,372)	(1,215)	(1,016)	(1,551)	(1,750)	
Total Appropriations⁽²⁾	(1,372)	(1,215)	(1,016)	(71,551)	(1,750)	0
Transfers:						
From/(To) General Fund Appropriations Account	(208,423)	-	240,103	262,997	30,694	(900)
Special Session/Appropriation Contingency Fund	-	-	-	-		
To Tax Stabilization Reserve Fund	-	-	-	(121,461)		
Total Transfers	(208,423)	-	240,103	141,536	30,694	(900)
Ending Balance⁽³⁾	\$ 37,451	\$ 36,236	\$ 276,688	\$ 346,945	\$ 375,889	\$ 374,989
C. STATE SUPPORT RESERVE						
Beginning Balance	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000
Transfers From Operating Reserve/ Appropriation Account ⁽⁴⁾	-	-	-	-	-	
Ending Balance	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000	\$ 1,000
D. APPROPRIATION CONTINGENCY FUND						
Beginning Balance, Excluding Education Reform	\$ 27,531	\$ 11,536	\$ 29,642	\$ 5,184	\$ 29,505	\$ 13,505
Receipts:						
Reversions	8,330	3,029	4,317	1,921	-	-
Transfers From General Fund	-	25,000	-	40,000	-	-
Expenditures/Appropriations: ⁽⁵⁾						
Disasters	(11,241)	(9,923)	(28,775)	(17,600)	(16,000)	(16,000)
Other	(13,084)	-	-	-	-	-
Prior period adjustment for water rights (Laws 2008, Chapter 111, Section 78)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ending Balance, Excluding Education Reform	\$ 11,536	\$ 29,642	\$ 5,184	\$ 29,505	\$ 13,505	\$ (2,495)
Education Reform:						
Beginning Balance, Education Reform	\$ 69,051	\$ 19,047	\$ 53,047	\$ 47,047	\$ 39,047	\$ 38,047
Transfers In	-	40,000	-	-	-	-
Expenditures	(48,354)	(6,000)	(6,000)	(8,000)	(1,000)	
Audit Adjustment	(1,650)	-	-	-	-	-
Ending Balance, Education Reform	\$ 19,047	\$ 53,047	\$ 47,047	\$ 39,047	\$ 38,047	\$ 38,047
Ending Balance, Appropriation Contingency Fund	\$ 30,583	\$ 82,689	\$ 52,231	\$ 68,552	\$ 51,552	\$ 35,552

TABLE 16

**General Fund Financial Summary
Fiscal Year 2009 – Fiscal Year 2014
(Dollars in thousands)**

	<u>Actual</u> <u>2009</u>	<u>Actual</u> <u>2010</u>	<u>Actual</u> <u>2011</u>	<u>Preliminary</u> <u>2012</u>	<u>Projected</u> <u>2013</u>	<u>Projected</u> <u>2014</u>
E. TAX STABILIZATION RESERVE						
Beginning Balance	\$ 254,355	\$ 198,655	\$ 26,008	\$ 26,008	\$ 147,469	\$ 147,469
Transfers In	-	-	-	121,461	-	-
Transfers Out	(55,700)	(172,647)	-	-	-	-
Ending Balance⁽⁶⁾	\$ 198,655	\$ 26,008	\$ 26,008	\$ 147,469	\$ 147,469	\$ 147,469
F. TOBACCO SETTLEMENT PERMANENT FUND RESERVE⁽⁷⁾						
Beginning Balance	\$ 135,884	\$ 120,956	\$ 132,031	\$ 148,786	\$ 148,978	\$ 160,178
Transfers In	48,856	40,950	38,565	39,321	39,000	39,500
Transfers Out	(48,856)	(40,950)	(38,565)	(39,321)	(39,000)	(19,750)
Gains/Losses	(14,928)	11,075	16,755	191	11,200	12,013
Ending Balance	\$ 120,956	\$ 132,031	\$ 148,786	\$ 148,978	\$160,178	\$ 191,941
G. TAXPAYER DIVIDEND FUND						
Beginning Balance	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Transfers In	-	-	-	-	-	-
Transfers Out	-	-	-	-	-	-
Gains/Losses	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ending Balance	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
H. TOTAL RESERVE BALANCES						
Beginning Balance	\$ 735,066	\$ 388,645	\$ 277,964	\$ 504,714	\$ 712,944	\$ 736,088
Ending Balance	\$ 388,645	\$ 277,964	\$ 504,714	\$ 712,944	\$ 736,088	\$ 750,951
Reserves as a Percentage of Current-Year Recurring Appropriations	6.4%	5.2%	9.7%	13.1%	13.0%	12.7%

Note: Detail may not add to column total due to independent rounding.

NOTES TO GENERAL FUND FINANCIAL SUMMARY:

(1) Appropriation Account, Nonrecurring Appropriations:

FY09 includes the following appropriations from Laws 2008: \$18.1 million for special appropriations from the General Appropriation Act of 2008 (Chapter 3), less \$5.2 million in information technology contingent appropriations not certified by CIO in FY09; \$0.5 million from HB140 for Soil and Water Districts (Chapter 78); \$8.4 million from SB 165 "Junior" (Chapter 6); \$1.5 million from SB 471 (Chapter 92), less \$0.5 million in contingencies that did not materialize; and \$45.8 million for various projects (2nd Special Session, Chapters 3, 5-8 and 10). **FY09 includes the following appropriations from Laws 2009:** -\$1.0 million from HB 9 (Chapter 5); -\$161.0 million from HB 10 (Chapter 2), -\$27.1 million from Sections 1 and of SB 79 (Chapter 3); and \$40.4 million from the General Appropriation Act of 2009 (Chapter 124, Sections 5 and 6).

FY10 includes the following appropriations from Laws 2008: \$5.2 million in information technology appropriations transferred from FY09 (Chapter 3); and \$0.5 million in capital outlay appropriations transferred from FY09 (Chapter 92). The contingencies for these appropriations did not materialize during FY09.

FY10 includes the following appropriations from Laws 2009: \$100 million transferred to various funds (Laws 2009, Chapter 124, Section 12); -\$1.2 million in reductions to appropriations made in Section 5 of the General Appropriation Act of 2009 (1st Special Session, Chapter 2); \$3 million for public school supplemental funding (1st Special Session, Chapter 5); and -\$20.15 million in reductions of appropriations made by Laws 2009 (1st Special Session, Chapter 7, SB 28).

FY10 includes the following appropriations from Laws 2010: \$-0.2 million in reductions of appropriations made by Laws 2009 (Chapter 105); \$5.0 million for development training funds (Chapter 79); \$0.5 million from Section 5 of the General Appropriation Act of 2010 (2nd special Session, Chapter 3); and \$0.5 million for the temporary tax amnesty program (2nd special session, Chapter 2).

FY11 includes the following appropriations from Laws 2010: \$1.1 million from Section 5 of the General Appropriation Act of 2010 (2nd Special Session, Chapter 3), \$1.5 million for the 2011 redistricting session (Laws 2011, Chapter 1), and \$15.6 million from Section 5 and Section 6 of the General Appropriation Act of 2011 (Chapter 179).

FY12 includes the following appropriations from Laws 2011: \$0.3 million 2012 election expenses and \$0.5 million for Department of Health (Laws 2011, Ch. 179, Sec. 5); \$1.5 million for redistricting (Laws 2011, Ch. 1); and \$1.3 million for the 2011 1st Special Session (Chapter 1), \$0.5 million for Medicaid and SNAP (1st Special Session, Chapter 2), and \$4.0 million appropriated for police vehicles (1st Special Session, Chapter 5).

FY12 includes the following appropriations from Laws 2012: \$69.1 million from Sections 5 and 6 of the General Appropriation Act of 2012 (Laws 2012, Ch. 19) and \$5.5 million for 2012 legislative session expenses (Laws 2012, Ch. 1).

FY13 includes the following appropriations from Laws 2012: \$23.7 million from Section 5 of the General Appropriation Act of 2012 (Laws 2012, Ch. 19).

(2) General Fund Operating Reserve Appropriations:

FY09 includes \$1.4 million for State Board of Finance Emergency Fund.

FY10 includes \$1.2 million for State Board of Finance Emergency Fund.

FY11 includes \$1.0 million for State Board of Finance Emergency Fund.

FY12 includes \$1.3 million for State Board of Finance Emergency Fund and a \$70 million contingent liability established in the draft 2012 General Fund financial statements for potential charges related to unreconciled cash transactions.

FY13 includes \$1.75 million for State Board of Finance Emergency Fund.

(3) Year-ending Balances in the Operating Reserve:

Annually, if the balance in the General Fund Operating Reserve exceeds 8% of the previous year's recurring appropriations, the excess over 8% is transferred to the Tax Stabilization Reserve.

FY12 includes \$121 million transfer from the Operating Reserve to the Tax Stabilization Fund due to the fund balance exceeding 8% of the previous year's recurring appropriation.

(4) Appropriation Contingency Fund Appropriations:

FY09 includes the following appropriations from Laws 2008: \$11.2 million for disaster allotments; \$0.5 million contingency to the Economic Development Department for the X-Prize (Chapter 3, Section 5, Item 51); \$12.6 million contingency for the Public Education Department (Chapter 3, Section 5, Item 98); and \$1.7 million contingency appropriation transferred from FY08 to FY09 for an unexpended appropriation from education reform for a Public Education Department information technology system (Chapter 3).

FY09 includes the following appropriations from Laws 2009: \$35.8 million for the State Equalization Guarantee (Chapter 3, Section 9); and \$12.6 million for education reform appropriations from the General Appropriation Act of 2009 (Chapter 124, Section 5, Items 57-60 and 63).

FY10 includes the following appropriations/transfers from Laws 2009: \$9.9 million for disaster allotments; \$25.0 million transferred from the general fund for general purposes (Chapter 124); and \$40.0 million transferred from the Appropriation Account for education reform (Chapter 124).

FY10 includes the following appropriations/transfers from Laws 2010: \$6.0 million for education reform appropriations from the General Appropriation Act of 2010 (2nd Special Session, Chapter 6, Section 5, Item 17).

FY11 includes the following appropriations/transfers from Laws 2010: \$28.8 million for disaster allotments; and \$4.0 million for education reform appropriations from the General Appropriation Act of 2010 (2nd Special Session, Chapter 6, Section 5, Item 16).

FY11 includes the following appropriations/transfers from Laws 2011: \$2.0 million for emergency support to public schools (Laws 2011, Ch. 179, Sec. 5, Item 23).

FY12 includes the following appropriations/transfers from Laws 2011: \$2.5 million for education reform appropriations (Ch. 179, Sec. 5, Item 25).

FY12 includes the following appropriations/transfers from Laws 2012: \$17.6 million for disaster allotments; \$5.5 million for education reform appropriations (Ch. 19, Sec. 5, Items 33-34); and \$40 million transferred from the general fund (Laws 2012, Ch. 19, Sec. 10).

FY13 includes the following appropriations/transfers from Laws 2012: \$1.0 million for education reform (Ch. 19, Sec. 5).

(5) Year-ending Balances in the Tax Stabilization Reserve:

Annually, if the balance in the Tax Stabilization Reserve exceeds 6% of the previous year's recurring appropriations, the excess over 6% is transferred to the Taxpayer's Dividend Fund.

FY09 includes \$55.7 million transfer from the Tax Stabilization Reserve into the Appropriation Account (Laws 2009, Chapter 3).

FY10 includes \$115.0 million transferred from the Tax Stabilization Reserve into the Appropriation Account (1st Special Session, Laws 2009, Chapter 3); and \$57.6 million transferred from the Tax Stabilization Reserve into the Appropriation Account (2nd Special Session, Laws 2010, Chapter 6, Section 15).

FY12 includes \$121.5 million transfer from the Operating Reserve to the Tax Stabilization Fund due to the fund balance exceeding 8% of the previous year's recurring appropriation.

(6) Tobacco Settlement Permanent Fund Reserve (established by Laws 2003, Chapter 312).

FY09 -- 100% of Tobacco Settlement Permanent Fund revenues, equaling \$48.9 million, were transferred to the Tobacco Settlement Program Fund for Medicaid, rather than the historical 50% transfer (Laws 2009, Chapter 3).

FY10 -- 100% of Tobacco Settlement Permanent Fund revenues, equaling \$41.0 million, were transferred to the Tobacco Settlement Program Fund for Medicaid, rather than the historical 50% transfer (Laws 2009, Chapter 3).

FY11 -- 100% of Tobacco Settlement Permanent Fund revenues, equaling \$38.6 million, were transferred to the Tobacco Settlement Program Fund for Medicaid, rather than the historical 50% transfer (Laws 2010, Chapter 49).

FY12 -- 100% of Tobacco Settlement Permanent Fund revenues, equaling \$39.3 million, were transferred to the Tobacco Settlement Program Fund for Medicaid, rather than the historical 50% transfer (Laws 2011, Chapter 3).

FY13 –100% of Tobacco Settlement Permanent Fund revenues, equaling \$39.0 million, were transferred to the Tobacco Settlement Program Fund for Medicaid, rather than the historical 50% transfer (Laws 2011, Chapter 3).

FY14 – 50% of Tobacco Settlement Permanent Fund revenues, or \$19.8 million, are estimated to be transferred to the Tobacco Settlement Program Fund for Medicaid pursuant to Section 6-4-9C NMSA 1978.

Review of Results and Projections in the General Fund

Fiscal Year 2009. Recurring General Fund revenues decreased by 11.6 percent from the previous fiscal year to approximately \$5.3 billion in Fiscal Year 2009. The price of oil averaged \$64.71 per barrel and the price of natural gas averaged \$5.65 per mcf for the fiscal year. General and selective sales taxes declined by 0.7 percent while income taxes decreased by 28.5 percent. General Fund balances decreased by \$346.5 million to \$388.6 million, or 6.4 percent of Fiscal Year 2009 recurring appropriations.

Since Fiscal Year 2009, the executive branch has continued to implement austerity measures including a partial hiring freeze, freezing salary increases, eliminating non-essential overtime, limiting upward reclassification of postings, suspending awards of compensatory time for exempt employees, reducing expenses related to travel, equipment, supplies and furniture and employing energy conservation strategies. The Governor also requested elected public officials and the legislative and judicial branches to contribute to the overall effort to reduce expenditures.

The 2009 Legislature adopted and the Governor signed four bills revising the Fiscal Year 2009 budget. Laws 2009, Chapter 5 (House Bill 9) cancelled the authorization for various capital outlay projects that were previously appropriated from the General Fund and Severance Tax bond funds and reauthorized certain other projects. Laws 2009, Chapter 2 (House Bill 10) provided for a 2.5 percent reduction in certain General Fund operating budgets, and other appropriations, with variations and exemptions for certain agencies and programs. Laws 2009, Chapter 3 (Senate Bill 79) reduced and repealed General Fund appropriations and authorized transfers to the General Fund from several other funds. This bill also partially restored reductions to public schools and Medicaid with appropriations from two reserve funds; the bill appropriated \$35.8 million from the Appropriation Contingency Fund's education reform balance to diminish the public schools budget reduction from 2.5 percent to 1.1 percent, and appropriated \$22.6 million from the Tobacco Settlement Permanent Fund to diminish the Medicaid budget reduction from 2.5 percent to 1 percent. Laws 2009, Chapter 4 (Senate Bill 80) restored the requirement that was inadvertently deleted in 2003 legislation for a first quarterly installment of corporate estimated income tax, causing some corporate income tax revenue to arrive during Fiscal Year 2009 instead of Fiscal Year 2010. The executive sold certain stocks held in unclaimed property and transferred \$7.9 million in proceeds to the General Fund. Finally, a measure was enacted in the special session of the Legislature in October 2009 that authorized the Department of Finance and Administration to transfer funds from the General Fund Operating Reserve to the Appropriation Account.

Fiscal Year 2010. Recurring general fund revenues were \$4.8 billion in Fiscal Year 2010. The price of oil realized in New Mexico averaged \$71.29 per barrel and the price of natural gas averaged \$5.20 per mcf for Fiscal Year 2010.

General and selective sales taxes declined by 10.8 percent from Fiscal Year 2009 to Fiscal Year 2010, while personal income taxes decreased by 0.2 percent. Corporate income taxes fell by 23.0 percent in Fiscal Year 2010. Mineral production taxes declined by 11.2 percent from Fiscal Year 2009, while rents and royalties declined by 22.2 percent.

Fiscal Year 2010 also included \$479.9 million of non-recurring revenues resulting from transfers of balances from other funds to the general fund, cancelling certain capital projects, and austerity measures affecting state agency operating budgets. In addition, \$172.6 million was transferred to the

Appropriation Account from the Tax Stabilization Reserve Fund. The solvency measures included reductions to operating budget and capital appropriations, furloughs, transfers of certain fund balances to the general fund, and use of federal American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (“ARRA”) funds. New Mexico received over \$2 billion in federal stimulus funding from ARRA for use in Fiscal Years 2009 through 2011. New Mexico’s share of the federal funding contained in ARRA for Fiscal Years 2009 through 2011 included \$536.0 million for Medicaid, \$260.4 million for education, and \$57.9 million for general purposes. New Mexico received additional federal funding in August 2010 that provided a one-time payment of \$65 million for education and \$126 million for Medicaid to avoid states’ revenue shortfalls across the nation.

The Fiscal Year 2010 general fund budget contained \$5.4 billion of recurring appropriations, a decrease of 11.2 percent over the Fiscal Year 2009 adjusted budget. The budget was balanced using \$406.2 million (\$180.5 for Medicaid and \$225.7 for Education Stabilization) in ARRA funding to avoid significant reductions in public and higher education and Medicaid, temporarily substituting \$28.1 million of State Medicaid funding with annual tobacco settlement payments, shifting 1.5 percent of annual State pension contributions from the employer to employees to save \$42.6 million, reducing State agency, public education, and higher education budgets by \$139 million, mandating expenditure reductions to save \$79 million in general fund expenditures, implementing furloughs to save \$8.6 million in general fund expenditures and shifting funding sources or cancelling \$271.1 million in capital outlay projects. General fund reserves were \$278.0 million at the end of Fiscal Year 2010, 5.2 percent of current-year recurring appropriations.

Fiscal Year 2011. In Fiscal Year 2011, recurring general fund revenues increased by 12.7 percent to approximately \$5.4 billion on a year-over-year basis. The price of oil averaged \$84.60 per barrel and the price of natural gas averaged \$5.50 per mcf in Fiscal Year 2011. General and selective sales taxes increased by 11.5 and 18.3 percent, respectively, reflecting an increase in the statewide gross receipts tax and the compensating tax from 5 to 5.125 percent that took effect on July 1, 2010, closure of a compensating tax loophole relating to the applicability of compensating tax to sellers with no nexus to the state, and an increase in the cigarette tax rate of \$0.75 per package of cigarettes. Income taxes increased by 19.3 percent, including the impact of eliminating a deduction for state and local taxes paid. Mineral production taxes in Fiscal Year 2011 increased by 8.5 percent from Fiscal Year 2010 and rents and royalties increased by 12.9 percent. General fund balances ended the year at \$504.7 million at the end of Fiscal Year 2011, 9.7 percent of current-year recurring appropriations. During the 2010 Legislative Session, the Legislature mandated the Governor to proportionately reduce allotments to all general funded entities, excluding most Medicaid programs to ensure solvency in Fiscal Year 2011. As a result of the July 2010 revenue estimate, one allotment reduction in the amount of 3.2 percent was implemented for most programs on September 1, 2010. Additionally, the Governor requested state agencies reduce expenditures which resulted in an increase in general and other fund reversions and an increase of reserve balances.

Fiscal Year 2012. In Fiscal Year 2012, recurring general fund revenues are projected to have increased to \$5.8 billion. The price of oil averaged \$89.64 per barrel and the price of natural gas averaged \$5.00 per mcf in Fiscal Year 2012. The Fiscal Year 2012 budget contained approximately \$5.4 billion of recurring appropriations. Among the measures taken to balance the budget were decreasing the fire protection fund distribution by \$1.3 million, reducing the film tax credit by \$23.3 million, shifting an additional 1.75 percent of annual State pension contributions from employer to employees to save \$49.7 million, improving the system for payment of unemployment insurance by State agencies resulting in cost savings of \$3 million, and implementing government efficiencies to save \$2.5 million. General fund reserves are expected to be \$712.9 million at fiscal year end after accounting for a \$70 million contingent liability established in the Fiscal Year 2012 draft General Fund financial statements for potential charges related to unreconciled cash transactions. The ending balance represents approximately 13.1 percent of

current-year recurring appropriations for Fiscal Year 2012. The Governor has expressed goals of maintaining reserves of 10 percent in future fiscal years, and providing for five-year expenditure forecasts to accompany consensus revenue forecasts. During the 2012 regular legislative session, \$100.8 million in Fiscal Year 2012 non-recurring appropriations were enacted, including \$55 million for Medicaid and personal care option program expenditures, as well as \$6 million for the Economic Development Department's job training incentive program.

Fiscal Year 2013. Based on the consensus revenue group projections for Fiscal Year 2013 made in December 2012, recurring general fund revenues are projected to decrease by approximately 1.6 percent over Fiscal Year 2012. Total recurring revenue is estimated to be approximately \$5.7 billion. The price of oil is expected to average \$85.00 per barrel and the price of natural gas is expected to average \$4.50 per mcf. Much of the decline in revenue is projected to occur in mineral rents and royalties. Rents and royalties are estimated to fall by 15.9 percent, and mineral production taxes are projected to decrease by 8.1 percent. General sales taxes are expected to grow by a modest 2.9 percent, while personal and corporate income taxes are estimated to grow by 1.1 percent. Interest earnings are projected to fall by 4.8 percent, primarily due to the constitutionally mandated reduction in distributions from the land grant permanent fund from 5.8 percent of 5-year average market value to 5.5 percent beginning in Fiscal Year 2013.

General fund reserves are estimated to be \$736.1 million at the end of Fiscal Year 2013, approximately 13.0 percent of Fiscal Year 2013 recurring appropriations. This amount may be reduced by potential supplemental, special, deficiency and internet technology appropriations enacted during the 2013 legislative session.

The consensus revenue group will produce another revenue forecast prior to presentation by the Legislature of its final budget proposal for review by the Governor.

Fiscal Year 2014. Based on the consensus revenue group projections for Fiscal Year 2014 made in December 2012, recurring general fund revenues are projected to increase by approximately 3.9 percent over Fiscal Year 2013. Total recurring revenue is estimated to be approximately \$5.9 billion. General and selective sales taxes are expected to grow by 3.2 percent, while income taxes are expected to grow by 7.8 percent. Mineral production taxes are expected to increase by 4.0 percent, and rents and royalties are expected to increase by 5.5 percent. Interest earnings are projected to remain flat.

Fiscal Year 2014 "new money", defined as Fiscal Year 2014 recurring revenue less Fiscal Year 2013 recurring appropriations, is estimated to be \$283 million. Several provisions enacted in prior legislative sessions are expected to reduce the amount of available new money to \$200 million. These existing appropriations include the scheduled reversal of the 1.5 percent employer to employee retirement contribution swap, a 0.75 percent increase in employer contributions for public school retirement, and the expiration of heightened distributions from the Tobacco Settlement Permanent Fund to Medicaid programs. The Governor has expressed goals of setting aside a portion of new money to implement tax reform.

General Fund Taxes and Revenues

Programs and operations of the State are predominantly funded through a system of 28 major taxes, and a substantial number of minor taxes, administered by the Taxation and Revenue Department. The Public Regulation Commission collects taxes on insurance premiums. The Regulation and Licensing Department collects professional licensing fees and a number of charges for regulating activities and professions in the state. In addition, interest income and earnings from the Land Grant Permanent Fund, the Severance Tax Permanent Fund and cash balances invested by the State Treasurers Office provide

important sources of revenue for State purposes. The most important tax and revenue sources, as measured by magnitude of revenue generation, and the application of the monies to certain funds and purposes, are described below.

Gross Receipts and Compensating Taxes

The gross receipts tax is levied on the total amount of money or the value of other consideration received from selling tangible and certain intangible personal property in the State, from leasing property employed in the State, from performing services in the State and from research and development services performed outside the State on products initially used in the State. The tax is remitted by the seller but generally passed on to the purchaser. The compensating tax is imposed generally on property used in the State but purchased elsewhere. Gross receipts and compensating taxes are due on the 25th day of the month following the month in which the transaction occurs.

The general presumption is that all receipts of a person engaging in business are subject to the gross receipts tax, even though there are over 100 specified exemptions and deductions from gross receipts taxation. Exemptions from the gross receipts tax include, but are not limited to, certain receipts of governmental agencies and certain non-profit organizations; receipts from the sale of certain vehicles; occasional sales of property or services; wages; certain agricultural products; and dividends, interest and receipts from natural gas, oil or mineral interests sales or leases. Deductions from the gross receipts tax include, but are not limited to, receipts from various types of sales or leases of tangible personal property or service; receipts from certain sales of property to governmental agencies or to certain non-profit organizations; receipts from certain processing of some agricultural products; receipts from certain publication sales; certain receipts from interstate commerce transactions; and as set forth below, certain food and medical services as of January 1, 2005.

The gross receipts and compensating taxes are together the single largest source of State General Fund revenue. The gross receipts tax is also a significant source of revenue for cities and counties. The gross receipts tax includes the 5.125 percent statewide gross receipts tax levy, plus several city and county local-option gross receipts taxes. The statewide gross receipts tax rate was increased from 5 to 5.125 percent effective July 1, 2010 as a result of action taken during the 2010 special legislative session. Until January 1, 2005, a credit of 0.5 percent against the statewide rate of 5 percent was allowed for transactions taking place in municipalities. Receipts from the statewide gross receipts tax levy, less certain disbursements, are deposited in the State General Fund. The disbursements include 1.225 percent of the taxable gross receipts reported in each incorporated municipality to that municipality. The State Aviation Fund receives a monthly distribution equal to 4.79 percent of the reported value of jet fuel sales, and a statutory monthly distribution which increased from \$167,000 to \$250,000 in July 2009 as specified in Section 7-1-6.7 (D) NMSA 1978. An additional \$530,000 is currently distributed monthly to the New Mexico Finance Authority's State Building Fund Bonding Fund, pursuant to Section 7-1-6.42 NMSA 1978. Pursuant to legislation enacted in 2009, that distribution to the State Building Bonding Fund will increase to \$680,000 per month on the later of July 1, 2011 or upon certification that the increased distribution is needed to make debt service payments on bonds issued pursuant to Section 7-1-6.42 for construction of a new executive office building near the State Capitol in Santa Fe. The County Equalization Distribution is made annually from state gross receipts tax revenues; it has averaged \$16.7 million over the past five years. After all other distributions, the General Fund share of gross receipts tax collections was 59 percent in Fiscal Year 2012 after the statewide rate increase and is expected to be about 60 percent for subsequent years.

Receipts from the compensating tax, less distributions, are transferred to the state General Fund. Compensating tax distributions include 10 percent to the small cities assistance fund and 10 percent to the small counties assistance fund, and a distribution to municipalities based on the level of their taxable

gross receipts. In Fiscal Year 2012, legislation increased the distribution to small cities to 15 percent, increased the minimum distribution to small cities from \$35,000 to \$90,000, and amended statute to allow for larger distributions to small counties. The distribution changes are scheduled to go into effect in Fiscal Year 2014.

In 2005, the Legislature made a number of changes to the state gross receipts tax laws in Sections 7-1-6.16, 7-1-6.46, 7-1-6.47, 7-9-92 and 7-9-93 NMSA 1978. The credit of 0.5 percent granted to municipalities against the statewide rate was eliminated. The tax on food and certain medical services also was eliminated. The legislation created a deduction for gross receipts tax from retail sales of food as defined for federal food stamp program purposes. Retailers are required to report receipts from sales of groceries and then claim a deduction for the receipts. The deduction does not apply to receipts of restaurants or sales of prepared foods. The legislation also created a gross receipts tax deduction for some receipts of licensed health care providers (broadly defined) from Medicare Part C and managed health care plans, and health care insurers. This medical deduction was modestly expanded in the 2007 legislative session. The 2005 legislation also provided for payments from the State to reimburse local governments for all lost gross receipts tax revenues due to these deductions. Legislation in 2007 froze the rate, but not the size, of these payments for counties with a population over 48,000, and municipalities with a population greater than 10,000 or greater than average per capita taxable gross receipts.

In Fiscal Year 2012, total distributions to the General Fund from gross receipts and compensating taxes are projected to have increased by 5.2 percent over the previous fiscal year to \$2.0 billion, comprising 34.3 percent of recurring General Fund revenue.

Personal Income Tax

The personal income tax is imposed on the net income of every individual resident and upon the net income from business, property, or employment of individual nonresidents. Collections, net of refunds, are deposited in the General Fund. State taxable income is generally equal to federal adjusted gross income less deductions and amounts not taxable by State or federal laws. The State allows deductions for income earned by Indians on reservations, graduated deductions for income earned by taxpayers 65 years or older, and deductions for low- and middle-income taxpayers.

New Mexico's personal income tax structure has changed significantly in recent years, starting in 2003. The Legislature enacted significant personal income tax reductions that reduced the top marginal personal income tax rate from 8.2 percent in 2002 to 4.9 percent by 2008, codified in Section 7-2-7 NMSA 1978. This law combined the Head of Household filers' tax rate with the Married, Joint and Surviving Spouse filers' tax rate, beginning in 2006. Single parents are now taxed at the same rate as married couples. Statutory changes enacted in 2005 also provided low and middle-income tax exemptions, a personal income tax exemption for medical expenses, incentives to encourage business formation in rural areas, and incentives to encourage renewable energy production in the State.

In the 2012 Fiscal Year, total distributions to the General Fund from personal income tax are projected to have increased by 8.4 percent over the previous fiscal year to \$1.2 billion, and generated 19.8 percent of total recurring General Fund revenue.

Current State tax rates are set forth below:

If the taxable income is:	Married Filing Separate:	If the taxable income is:	Surviving Spouse, Married Filing Joint and Head of Household
Not over \$4,000	1.7% of taxable income	Not over \$8,000	1.7% of taxable income
\$4,001 to \$8,000	\$68.00 plus 3.2% of excess over \$4,000	\$8,001 to \$16,000	\$136.00 plus 3.2% of excess over \$8,000
\$8,001 to \$12,000	\$196.00 plus 4.7% of excess over \$8,000	\$16,001 to \$24,000	\$392.00 plus 4.7% of excess over \$16,000
Over \$12,000	\$384.00 plus 4.9% of excess over \$12,000	Over \$24,000	\$768.00 plus 4.9% of excess over \$24,000

If the taxable income is:	Single Including Trust and Estates:
Not over \$5,500	1.7% of taxable income
\$5,501 to \$11,000	\$93.50 plus 3.2% of excess over \$5,500
\$11,001 to \$16,000	\$269.50 plus 4.7% of excess over \$11,000
Over \$16,000	\$504.50 plus 4.9% of excess over \$16,000

Corporate Income Tax

The corporate income tax is imposed on the net income of a corporation doing business in the State or deriving any income from property or employment in the State by Section 7-2A-3 NMSA 1978. Collections, net of refunds, are transferred to the General Fund. Corporations are required to file a return on or before the 15th day of the third month following the end of each taxable year at which time corporate income taxes are also due. A corporation is required to make estimated tax payments if the tax, net of credits, is \$5,000 or more. Insurance companies do not pay corporate income tax; rather, they pay a tax on insurance premiums. Nonprofit organizations and retirement trust funds do not pay corporate income tax.

Tax rates are established under a graduated table and range from 4.8 percent on the first \$500,000 or less of taxable income to 7.6 percent on income in excess of \$1,000,000. For the 2012 Fiscal Year, total distributions to the General Fund of net receipts from corporate income taxes are projected to have totaled \$281.0 million. This is an increase of 22.3 percent from the prior fiscal year and generated 4.8 percent of recurring General Fund revenue.

In recent years, a number of credits administered through the corporate income tax system have been enacted. These include: the film production credit, enacted as Section 7-2F-1 NMSA 1978; the real property tax credit, enacted as Section 7-2-18.10 NMSA 1978; the rural jobs tax credit, enacted as Section 7-2E-1 NMSA 1978; the biodiesel production and sale credit, enacted as Section 7-2-18.21 NMSA 1978; the agricultural water conservation tax credit, enacted pursuant to 7-2-18.20 NMSA 1978; the sustainable building tax credit, enacted as Section 7-2-18.19 NMSA 1978; and the renewable energy production tax credit, enacted as Section 7-2A-19 NMSA 1978. The 2011 Legislature placed a cap of \$50 million per year on the film production tax credit, and added a tiered refund system that distributed refunds over a period of one, two or three years depending on the size of the refund. In the first year of enactment, Fiscal Year 2012, film credit refunds are projected to have totaled \$10 million, partly due to the rush to file claims in Fiscal Year 2011 prior to enactment of the cap. In Fiscal Year 2013 and subsequent fiscal years, claims are expected to reach the \$50 million cap.

Mineral Production Taxes

Mineral production taxes (Resource Excise Tax, Natural Gas Processors Tax, Oil and Gas Conservation Tax, and Oil and Gas Emergency School Tax) are levied on producers and others on the

value of severed minerals and material resources from within the State and these taxes are disbursed to the General Fund. The Oil and Gas Emergency School Tax is imposed for the privilege of engaging in the business of severing oil, natural gas, liquid hydrocarbons and carbon dioxide (“CO₂”) from the soil of the State. The Oil and Gas Emergency School Tax is imposed at a rate of 3.15 percent of taxable value of oil and 4 percent of taxable value for natural gas. Taxable value reflects gross sales value less deductions for royalties paid to government entities and for certain processing and transportation expenses. The same definition of taxable value is used for calculation of oil and gas severance tax liability.

In the 2012 Fiscal Year, distributions to the General Fund from Oil and Gas Emergency School Tax receipts are projected to have totaled \$399.6 million. This represents a 6.2 percent increase from the prior fiscal year. Other General Fund taxes on natural resource production totaled \$56.8 million. For Fiscal Year 2012, mineral production taxes are expected to have contributed 7.9 percent of recurring General Fund revenue.

In 2002, the Legislature created the Jicarilla Apache Tribal Capital Improvement Tax Credit, codified in Section 7-31-27 NMSA 1978. This tax credit can reduce the Oil and Gas Emergency School Tax on products severed from wells drilled on the Jicarilla Apache Nation by up to 0.7 percent of the taxable value of production. This credit is projected to have totaled \$1.1 million for Fiscal Year 2012.

Royalties, Rents and Bonuses

Federal Lands. Under terms of the 1920 Federal Mineral Leasing Act, the State receives 50 percent of all income generated from leasing federal lands located in the State for mineral production. Principal sources of income are royalty payments on oil and natural gas production. Additional income is derived from bonus payments for oil and natural gas leases and royalty payments on production of coal, potash and other minerals. The U.S. Office of Natural Resources Revenue, formerly the Minerals Management Service, collects federal mineral lease income and deducts the State’s share of administrative costs. The State receives payments on a monthly basis and makes deposits to the General Fund. Based upon preliminary revenue results for the 2012 Fiscal Year, total distributions to the General Fund from federal mineral leases totaled \$502.6 million. This equals 8.7 percent of recurring General Fund receipts for the fiscal year.

Federal Fiscal Year 2009 appropriations bills implemented a 2 percent administrative fee cut from the federal royalty payments to states. This 2 percent fee was extended each federal fiscal year since 2009, and is included in the Obama Administration’s 2013 Budget Recommendation. Approximately \$10.3 million was deducted from the federal mineral leasing payments to the State for Fiscal Year 2009, \$7.2 million was deducted in Fiscal Year 2010 and \$8.4 million was deducted in Fiscal Year 2011. In Fiscal Year 2012, approximately \$10.2 million was deducted from the federal mineral leasing payments.

State Lands. The State Land Office manages lands acquired by the State under the federal Fergusson Act enacted prior to statehood, as well as under the State Constitution. All income from State lands is dedicated to specific educational purposes and institutions. As with federal lands, the oil and natural gas industry is the principal source of revenue from State lands. Bonus income is also collected in the form of cash payments as a result of competitive bidding for State leases. Rentals and bonus income are distributed to the respective beneficiary institutions. The largest beneficiary group is the State’s public school system. Mineral production from State trust lands also generates royalty income which is deposited in the State Land Grant Permanent Fund (“LGPF”). Royalties are imposed on most mineral production values at the rate of 12.5 percent, although there is a provision for rates of up to 20 percent for new leases on developed acreage. LGPF beneficiaries and “State lands” beneficiaries are the same: educational institutions and public schools. In Fiscal Year 2012, distributions to the General Fund from

the State Land Office are projected to have totaled \$92.5 million, which equaled 1.6 percent of recurring General Fund receipts for the fiscal year.

Severance Tax Permanent Fund and the Land Grant Permanent Fund

The Severance Tax Permanent Fund (“STPF”) was established in the State Treasury in 1973 to receive the residual revenues from the Bonding Fund and serve as an endowment for future capital projects. In 1976, the electorate approved a constitutional amendment giving the STPF constitutional status. In 1982, the electorate approved a second constitutional amendment that removed the discretionary power of the Legislature to appropriate funds from the corpus of the STPF, thereby creating a permanent endowment fund. Distributions from investments of the STPF, however, may be appropriated by the Legislature in the same manner as other general revenues are appropriated. Severance tax receipts have historically been the primary source of funding for the STPF. The State Investment Council (“SIC”) invests the corpus and non-appropriated income of the STPF. The market value of the STPF as of June 30, 2012 was approximately \$3.88 billion, an increase of approximately 0.2 percent from the prior Fiscal Year. Performance for the one year period ended June 30, 2012 was 2.7 percent below the Fund benchmark, and investment performance for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 was 0.3 percent below the fund policy index. STPF funds are not pledged to and may not be used to pay any Bonds.

The Land Grant Permanent Fund (“LGPF”) is designed solely to benefit the public educational system of the State and other specified institutions. The origins of the LGPF are found in the federal Fergusson Act of 1898, which granted two sections of land in every township for the benefit of the public schools in the territories of New Mexico. Also under this Act, specific acreage was granted to individual education, medical and penal institutions in the territory. The initial grant totaled in excess of 5.5 million acres. In 1910, the Enabling Act granted additional lands to various beneficiaries in New Mexico, including the public schools, which received the largest share. This brought the total lands placed in trust for the common school system and other beneficiaries to over 13 million acres. Over the years, some land has been sold (and the proceeds used to increase the corpus of the LGPF), so the current total is nine million surface acres and 13.4 million subsurface acres.

Pursuant to Section 19-1-1 NMSA 1978, the State Land Office is charged with the custody and disposition of the land granted to the State. The Commissioner of Public Lands sells or leases these properties in accordance with the provisions of the appropriate statutes. The SIC invests the corpus and income of the LGPF. As of June 30, 2012, the market value of the LGPF was approximately \$10.73 billion, an increase of approximately 0.7 percent over the prior fiscal year. Performance for the year ended June 30, 2012 trailed its benchmark by 2.2 percent, while investment returns for the quarter were 0.6 percent below the LGPF’s policy index. The corpus of the LGPF is constitutionally protected from appropriation and LGPF assets are not pledged to and may not be used to pay debt. The LGPF is also protected by the Federal Enabling Act of 1910.

In November 1996, the State electorate approved a constitutional amendment regarding distributions from both of the State’s permanent funds. Distributions are now calculated on a total return basis rather than an income distribution method. In addition, distributions to beneficiaries are now based on a formula under which a statutorily specified percentage of the previous average five-year market value of the fund is distributed.

In September 2003, the State electorate approved a constitutional amendment increasing the rate of annual distribution from the LGPF from the previous 4.7 percent, to a new base distribution of 5 percent of the LGPF’s five-year average market value, beginning in Fiscal Year 2005. The Constitutional change also provided for additional distributions of 0.8 percent to beneficiaries for fiscal years 2005

through 2012 and an additional 0.5 percent for distribution in fiscal years 2013 through 2016. A three-fifths majority of each house of the Legislature may suspend this additional distribution.

In May 2009 in connection with an ongoing investigation undertaken by the New York Attorney General relating to the use of third party placement agents in connection with investment transactions of that state's retirement fund, Saul Meyer, the founding partner of Aldus Equity Partners ("Aldus"), an investment advisor to that fund, was indicted in New York for allegedly paying illegal kickbacks in connection with investment recommendations to that fund. Aldus had acted as an investment advisor to the SIC and ERB on private equity investments until being terminated shortly after the May 2009 indictment of Mr. Meyer. In October 2009, Mr. Meyer pleaded guilty to a fraud charge relating to investments made by the New York retirement fund and recommended by Aldus. In connection with that plea, Mr. Meyer stated that from 2004 to 2009 Aldus had acted as an advisor to the SIC and the ERB and that, contrary to his fiduciary responsibilities to the SIC and ERB, he ensured that Aldus recommended certain proposed investments pushed on him by politically connected individuals or their associates who stood to benefit financially or politically from the investments, and that the investments were not necessarily in the best interests of the State. Several days later in October 2009, Gary Bland, the State Investment Officer at the time, resigned. In December 2012, Mr. Meyer received a deferred sentence and no jail time. To date, no criminal charges have been filed by state or federal investigators in New Mexico. In line with new statutory authority, in May 2011, the SIC in conjunction with the Day Pitney Law Firm and New Mexico Attorney General, filed recovery lawsuits against more than a dozen placement agents, former Investment Officer Bland, and other individuals, alleging that these individuals improperly benefited from pay-to-play and kickback schemes involving SIC investments. These legal recovery efforts are ongoing.

In response to these and other events and the negative returns suffered by the State's various investment funds during the market turmoil associated with the downturn in the nation's economy in 2008 and 2009, the Board and the Legislative Council Service ("LCS") co-sponsored an Independent Fiduciary and Operational Review of State Investment Policies, Procedures and Practices prepared by Ennis, Knupp & Associates. Inc. (the "Review"). The scope of the work of this Review included, among other things, review and recommendations for appropriate governance and organizational structure, and investment best practices for investing agencies. The findings and recommendations of the Review were reported to the Board at a meeting on January 13, 2010. In order to address certain recommendations of the Review, during the 2010 legislative session the Legislature, among other things, modified the composition of the SIC, clarified the authority of the SIC and the State Investment Officer, provided for the appointment of the State Investment Officer by the SIC and changed the method of appointment of public members of the SIC. As of October 2011, the SIC has implemented or is in the process of implementing more than 50 of the 82 reform recommendations made by Ennis Knupp. In 2012, the SIC asked the consulting group (now known as) Hewitt-Ennis Knupp to revisit the SIC's recent efforts to improve the SIC's investment and governance practices, and assist the SIC in its continuing efforts to expand reforms.

Investment Income

Investment earnings credited to the General Fund are from three primary sources: the LGPF, the Severance Tax Permanent Fund, and cash balances held by the State Treasurer. Income from the LGPF is distributed among the beneficiary institutions and public schools. The allocation received by the public schools, which is approximately 83 percent, is deposited in the General Fund. For the 2013 Fiscal Year, \$438.9 million of LGPF distributions were transferred to the General Fund for public school purposes. The State distributed \$176.2 million of income from the Severance Tax Permanent Fund, all of which was deposited in the General Fund. In the 2012 Fiscal Year, the Treasurer's cash balances are expected to have produced \$17.6 million for the General Fund. Total investment income credited to the General Fund was expected to be \$648.4 million. This is 12.0 percent of recurring General Fund receipts.

PROPERTY VALUATION AND TAXATION

The valuation of oil and natural gas production and the assessment of the *ad valorem* production tax are based on the actual value of production on a monthly basis from September 1 to August 31. Oil and natural gas are valued for the calendar year preceding the property tax year, as established in Section 7-32-15 NMSA 1978. For rate setting, the Local Government Division of the DFA may adjust the State levy for changes in oil and natural gas values.

In November 1998, the New Mexico electorate approved an amendment to Section 1 of Article VIII of the State Constitution to authorize the Legislature to limit increases in residential property valuation for property taxes. The limitation may be applied to classes of residential property taxpayers based on owner-occupancy, age or income. The limitations may be authorized statewide or at the option of a local jurisdiction and may include conditions. Any valuation limitations authorized as a local jurisdiction option shall provide for applying statewide or multi-jurisdictional property tax rates to the value of the property as if the valuations increase limitation did not apply. This amendment and related legislation may have an impact on future property taxation increases.

The 2000 Legislature passed and the Governor signed legislation limiting the increase in the value of residential property for property valuation purposes. Section 7-36-21.3 NMSA 1978 provides for a freezing of values for single-family dwellings occupied by certain low-income owners 65 years of age or older. Another law provides that the value of a residential property in any tax year, starting with the year 2001, shall not exceed certain percentage increases based on whether the county where the property is situated has a sales assessment ratio of at least 85 percent, as codified in Section 7-36-21.2 NMSA 1978. Sales assessment ratios are computed annually by the Taxation and Revenue Department and measure a county's assessment valuations against current sales information. Counties that have at least an 85 percent sales assessment ratio are considered "current and correct" while counties that fall below that threshold are considered not "current and correct." If a property is situated in a current and correct county, the law limits the annual increase to no more than 3 percent (and 6.1 percent over the value two years ago). If a property is situated in a county that is not current and correct, the law limits the annual increase to 5 percent. In addition, in such a non-current and correct county, the law limits the aggregate annual increases for all properties (excluding net new properties added to the tax rolls) in that county to 3 percent. The annual limitations do not apply to new improvements or to any property that has had a change in ownership, use or zoning during the year.

The 2005 Legislature passed and the Governor signed a bill, codified as Section 7-38-12.1 NMSA 1978, requiring the disclosure only to the County Assessor of sale prices and other items of value upon the sale of residential real property. This amendment has led to an increase in the assessed value of some residential properties.

The 2008 Legislature passed and the Governor signed legislation amending Section 7-36-15 NMSA 1978 to require county assessors to consider, in determining the market value of residential housing, any decrease in value that would be realized by an owner in the sale of the property because of the effects of any affordable housing subsidy, covenant or encumbrance under a federal, state or local housing program that restricts the future use or resale value of the property, or otherwise prohibits the owner from fully benefiting from any enhanced value of the property.

In August 2009, in the case of *Dzur v. Bernalillo County Valuation Protests Board*, No. CV-2008-12410, Judge Baca of the New Mexico Second Judicial District (comprising Bernalillo County, the county in which Albuquerque, New Mexico's largest city, is located) issued an opinion and order finding certain sections of the New Mexico Property Tax Code, providing for limitations on annual increases in valuations of residential property except for residential property as to which a change of ownership has

occurred in the prior tax year, inconsistent with Article VIII, Section 1 of the New Mexico Constitution by creating an inappropriate classification limiting valuation increases on residential properties which did not apply to residential properties as to which a change of ownership occurred. Judge Baca's ruling ordered that the statute be applied in a manner so as to apply the valuation limitation without regard to when residential property is acquired. A similar ruling has been made by another district court judge in *Wang v. Bernalillo County Assessor*, No. CV-2007-10109. Numerous other suits seeking refund of property taxes already paid by over 2,400 plaintiffs are reported to be pending in the Second Judicial District. The Bernalillo County Assessor decided not to appeal Judge Baca's decision and rolled back all potentially affected 2010 single family residential property values to reflect the two court rulings. Judge Baca has, in two pending but undecided cases, issued a certification order asking for review of the issue by the New Mexico Court of Appeals. Currently, the New Mexico Court of Appeals has placed on its general docket two cases that raise the constitutionality of the valuation cap on residential increases. The Legislature considered various bills dealing with the valuation cap on residential increases in the 2010 special legislative session, but no bills were enacted into law. To the extent that court or legislative action is taken or a further Constitutional amendment is passed amending the valuation provisions, it could have a material impact on the valuation of residential property.

In February 2011, Judge Manuel Arrieta of the Third Judicial District (comprising Doña Ana County, the County in which Las Cruces, New Mexico's second largest city, is located) also declared certain limitations on annual increases in valuation of residential property unconstitutional. At this time, the Doña Ana County Assessor has no plans to challenge the ruling in the New Mexico Court of Appeals.

The effect of the court cases, the Bernalillo County Assessor's announcement, and the possibility of further lawsuits in other counties is not known. Any material reduction in residential property values statewide could result in a diminution of state general obligation bond capacity. Additionally, limitations on assessments could cause an increase to the property tax levied against tax payers necessary to pay debt service on state general obligation bonds.

On March 28, 2012, in *Zhao v. Montoya*, 12-NMCA-056, on certification from the district court for Bernalillo County, the New Mexico Court of Appeals held that the valuation cap on residential property values until a change of ownership occurs does not violate the New Mexico Constitution. On May 23, 2012, the New Mexico Supreme Court granted the taxpayers' petition for writ of certiorari. The matter has not yet been heard by the court.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

Table 17 sets forth the aggregate statewide net taxable valuations for the last 10 years.

TABLE 17

Final Net Taxable Valuations
(Dollars in thousands)

<u>Property Tax Year</u>	<u>Residential</u>	<u>Non- Residential</u>	<u>Oil and Gas</u>	<u>Copper</u>	<u>Net Taxable Value</u>
2003	18,279,692	10,778,559	3,024,570	66,614	32,149,435
2004	19,421,800	10,839,281	5,563,785	65,157	35,890,023
2005	21,120,378	12,161,447	4,643,270	65,157	38,910,768
2006	23,016,630	12,605,105	7,259,891	103,402	42,985,028
2007	25,805,629	14,458,192	5,758,696	133,262	47,288,631
2008	27,798,246	15,259,324	7,245,955	160,279	50,463,804
2009	29,455,894	16,383,859	9,033,975	172,481	55,046,209
2010	29,845,647	16,513,415	4,556,355	125,538	51,040,955
2011	30,265,867	16,594,029	5,868,724	117,476	52,846,098
2012	30,794,394	16,639,038	6,938,090	119,440	54,490,962

Source: New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration, Local Government Division.

Production and Property Taxes on Oil and Natural Gas

Current effective production tax rates expressed on ad valorem and unit bases are shown below. The rates were based on data from Fiscal Year 2012 and reflect an average sales price of \$89.65 per barrel for oil and \$5.00 per thousand cubic feet (mcf) for natural gas. The gross rates presented in the table below show taxes paid as a percentage of gross sales value before subtracting allowable deductions and tax credits. The gross tax per unit is also based on gross sales value. The net tax per unit, however, is also based on taxable value before subtracting allowable deductions and tax credits.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

TABLE 18

Effective Tax Rates applicable for Fiscal Year 2012

<u>Type of Tax</u>	<u>Crude Oil</u>		<u>Natural Gas</u>	
	<u>Ad Valorem</u>	<u>Per Barrel</u>	<u>Ad Valorem</u>	<u>Per mcf</u>
Price		\$89.65		\$5.00
Oil and Gas School Tax	2.81%	\$2.52	3.05%	\$0.15
Oil and Gas Severance Tax	3.30%	\$2.96	2.91%	\$0.15
Oil and Gas Conservation Tax (General Fund only)	0.21%	\$0.19	0.14%	\$0.01
Natural Gas Processors Tax	N/A	N/A	0.38%	\$0.02
Oil and Gas Production <i>ad valorem</i> Tax(1)	0.48%	\$0.43	0.61%	\$0.04
Oil and Gas Production Equipment <i>ad valorem</i> Tax(1)	0.20%	\$0.17	0.21%	\$0.02
Total	7.00%	\$6.28	7.31%	\$0.40
Subtotal: State Tax Only (excludes <i>ad valorem</i> taxes)	6.33%	\$5.67	6.48%	\$0.33

⁽¹⁾ Estimated revenue as a percent of total value for property tax year ending August 31, 2011.

Source: New Mexico Department of Finance and Administration.

Production, Sales, and Property Taxes on Coal

Total State production and property taxes on coal totaled approximately \$24.0 million in Fiscal Year 2012, down from approximately \$24.8 million in Fiscal Year 2011. Coal production, also, decreased from approximately 24.2 million tons in Fiscal Year 2011 to approximately 23.0 million tons in Fiscal Year 2012. Thus, the average effective tax per ton increased from approximately \$1.02 in Fiscal Year 2011 to approximately \$1.04 per ton for Fiscal Year 2012. With total sales revenue of over \$774.8 million in Fiscal Year 2012, the average effective tax was 3.10 percent of total sales revenue. This does not include the gross receipts tax. The average burden of production, property, and gross receipts taxes on a ton of coal produced and sold during Fiscal Year 2012 is shown in Table 19.

[REMAINDER OF PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

TABLE 19

Tax Burden on Coal for Fiscal Year 2012

Type of Tax	Tax per Ton	Effective Tax Rate	Taxes Collected
Severance Tax and Surtax (Net of ITC)	\$0.47	1.40%	\$ 10,879,388
Resource Excise Tax	\$0.24	0.71%	\$ 5,485,686
Conservation Tax	<u>\$0.06</u>	<u>0.18%</u>	<u>\$ 1,389,707</u>
Total Production Taxes	<u>\$0.77</u>	<u>2.29%</u>	<u>\$ 17,754,779</u>
Property Tax	\$0.27	0.81%	\$ 6,127,934
Gross Receipts Tax	<u>\$2.21</u>	<u>6.57%</u>	<u>\$ 50,897,810</u>
Total Production and Non-Production Taxes	<u>\$3.25</u>	<u>9.56%</u>	<u>\$ 74,925,523</u>
Price per Ton			\$ 33.65
Total Production (Short Tons)			23,024,642
Total Value			\$ 774,782,796

⁽¹⁾ The figures reported in this table come from the New Mexico Taxation and Revenue Department's GenTax System. They reflect only the information contained in all tax returns and amendments filed during Fiscal Year 2012. These figures differ from actual distributions made by the Taxation and Revenue Department's Financial Services Bureau, as the distributions include penalties, interest, and other modifications such as previously unallocated or unidentified receipts. Total production is based on volumes reported on severance tax returns, which differ from the volumes reported on resource excise tax returns.

⁽²⁾ Property taxes were billed for tax year 2012.

Source: *New Mexico Taxation and Revenue Department, Tax Analysis, Research and Statistics Office (the GenTax System, Financial Services Bureau and Property Tax Division's Central Assessment Unit).*

Statutory rates for the resources excise tax and the conservation tax are effectively reduced by a deduction for Federal, State and Indian royalties. The effective severance tax rate on coal reflects the mix of old and new contract sales and of underground and surface mines. Property tax pertains to both equipment and production values. Fundamental differences in tax bases preclude a true comparison between property taxes and other taxes shown above. However, property taxes are included in this analysis to prevent understating the tax burden.

In addition to production taxes, gross receipts tax is imposed on coal produced and sold within the State. During 2012, 73.6 percent of all coal produced in the State and supplied to electricity generating stations was supplied to power plants in New Mexico. The remaining 26.4 percent was transported by rail to electricity generators in Arizona. The combined state and local tax rate for Fiscal Year 2012 is 6.426 percent of taxable gross receipts.

Additional Information

Additional financial information from government agencies of the State may be obtained online from the State's Sunshine Portal. The information contained in the Sunshine Portal database may change over time. The State Board of Finance assumes no responsibility or liability for the contents of the Sunshine Portal. The State Board of Finance also maintains a website containing general information about the State and its bond programs.